# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2002

SEPTEMBER 20, 2001.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. HOBSON, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

# REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2904]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignments and closures for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002.

# CONTENTS

2 2 3 6
3
6
6
7
7
8
9
9
9
11
12
13
13
14

Defense-wide	14
Army National Guard	15
Air National Guard	16
Army Reserve	16
Naval Reserve	17
Air Force Reserve	17
NATO Security Investment Program	17
Family Housing Overview	18
Family Housing:	
Army	20
Navy	21
Air Force	22
Defense-wide	22
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	23
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense	23
Base Realignment and Closure	24
General Provisions	25
Changes in Application of Existing Law	27
Definition of Program, Project and Activity	28
Appropriations Not Authorized by Law	28
Transfer of Funds	29
Rescission of Funds	29
Constitutional Authority	29
Comparisons With Budget Resolution	29
Five-Year Projection of Outlays	30
Financial Assistance to State and Local Governments	30
Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives	30
Full Committee Votes	30
State List	30
Comparative Statement of New Budget Authority	4, 54

# PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction Appropriation Bill provides funding for planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for active and reserve forces. Additionally, the bill appropriates amounts for construction, alteration, improvement, operation, and maintenance of military family housing, and for payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. The bill provides funds for the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program (NSIP). Finally, the bill provides funds to implement base realignments and closures (BRAC) and impact assistance in communities where BRACs cause property values to decrease.

# CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

On August 1, 2001, the House Armed Services Committee marked up H.R. 2586, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2002. At this time, conference action on the legislation has not occurred; therefore, projects in this bill are approved subject to authorization.

# SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends \$10,500,000,000 in new budget (obligational) authority for the Department of Defense, Military Construction Appropriation Bill. This recommendation is \$528,688,000 above the President's request and \$1,563,502,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The following table summarizes the amounts recommended in the bill compared to amounts appropriated in fiscal year 2001.

# COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2001 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2002 (Amounts in thousands)

	-	(2)			
	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
SUMMARY OF APPROPRIATIONS ACCOUNTS					
Military Construction, Army	943,328	1,760,541	1,702,934	+759,606	-57,607
Military Construction, Navy	929,411	1,071,408	1,134,660	+205,249	+63,252
Military Construction, Air Force.	885,333	1,068,250	1,185,220	+299,887	+116,970
Military Construction, Defense-wide	798,463	694,558	852,808	+54,345	+158,250
Total, Active components	3,556,535	4,594,757	4,875,622	+1,319,087	+ 280,865
Military Construction, Army National Guard	285,587	267,389	313,348	+27,761	+45,959
Military Construction, Air National Guard	210,081	149,072	198,803	-11,278	+49,731
Military Construction, Army Reserve	108,499	111,404	167,769	+59,270	+56,365
Military Construction, Naval Reserve	61,931	33,641	61,426	-505	+27,785
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	36,510	53,732	81,882	+45,372	+28,150
Total, Reserve components	702,608	615,238	823,228	+120,620	+ 207,990
Total, Military Construction	4,259,143	5,209,995	5,698,850	+1,439,707	+ 488,855
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	171,622	162,600	162,600	-9,022	
Family Housing, Army: Construction	235,437	291,542	294,042	+ 58,605	+2,500
Operation and Maintenance	976,135	1,108,991	1,096,431	+120,296	-12,560

-82,455 + 30,380 +10,170 -8,000	+284,824 +18,000 +25,435 -11,000	+2501,025	+2,000 +10,119 +10,119	+428,219 +19,320	478,402 +20,513 +183,000	+1,563,502 +528,688
334,780 910,095	536,237 858,121	250 43,762	2,000	4,085,837	552,713	10,500,000
304,400 918,095	518,237 869,121	250 43,762	2,000	4,066,517	532,200	9,971,312
417,235	251,413	44,787		3,657,618	1,031,115	8,936,498
Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps:  Construction	Family Housing, Air Force:  Construction	Family Housing, Defense-wide:  Construction  Operation and Maintenance	Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	Total, Family Housing	Base Realignment and Closure Account	Grand Total

# TERRORIST ATTACKS OF SEPTEMBER 11, 2001

The Committee fully supports the President, Departments, and agencies in all their efforts to recover from and respond to the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, on the United States. The Committee is committed to working with the Defense Department to ensure necessary resources are available for reconstructing the Pentagon and improving the anti-terrorism and force protection measures of defense facilities at home and abroad.

#### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

For the most part, the President's budget request is a great improvement over past requests. The request increases the military construction budget by \$1,034,814,000, or 11 percent, above the fiscal year 2001 enacted level of \$8,936,498,000; decreases the current facility replacement rate of 192 years to 101 years, and maintains the goals of improving barracks and base housing by 2008 and

2010 respectively.

However, military installations face other challenges not addressed by the request. For example, according to the Installations Readiness Report (IRR), 69% of Department of Defense (DoD) facilities continue to be rated C-3 (deficiencies that prevent performing some missions) or C-4 (major facility deficiencies that preclude accomplishing the mission satisfactorily). Likewise, while the new replacement rate is better under this budget, it falls short of the Department's goal of 67 years, and even further than commercially-acceptable rates. Finally, the budget fails to implement a consistent, comprehensive strategy for maintaining and recapitalizing facilities so that mission performance and quality of life for troops and their families are not compromised. To ameliorate these problems, DoD should consider the pros and cons of implementing an aggressive asset management program that provides for effective and routine maintenance, as well as for adequate recapitalization of facilities, and determine whether it could be effectively implemented on military installations.

#### MILITARY HOUSING

The Committee is pleased with the Administration's commitment to execute the Military Housing Privatization Initiative. However, once again, this budget fails to address several issues associated with the initiative. First, budget scoring of the alternative authorities is inconsistent and is not always based on sound financial principles. DoD is directed to work with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to compare the current scoring methodology with similar federal housing programs, and to report the findings to the appropriate Congressional committees by March 15, 2002. This exercise should be helpful to OMB when it reexamines the scoring matrix for this program.

Second, because most privatization contracts are fifty years in length, the Committee believes that monitoring and enforcing contractual obligations will be paramount to program success. Each service should begin to develop capacity in this area. Similarly, because the initiative involves complex and complicated real estate transactions dissimilar to the traditional military housing program, each service should develop expertise in this area.

Finally, in the fiscal year 2001 appropriation bill, the Committee directed each service to submit a Family Housing Master Plan no later than July 1, 2001. Currently, only the Army's plan has been received by the Committee. The information contained in these plans is vital because it enables the Committee to understand how each service intends to meet the goal of eliminating all inadequate housing by fiscal year 2010. Therefore, the Committee urges the Navy and Air Force to submit their plans on time in the future.

# HISTORIC PROPERTIES

As noted in the past, the Committee is concerned with the inordinate expenditures associated with improving and maintaining historically significant properties. The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 requires the Department to manage those units listed on the National Historic Register, as well as any units that meet the criteria of being potentially eligible for listing, in a way that preserves their historic significance and integrity. In the future, these costs will grow as the number of properties eligible for listing on the Register grows. In the next five years alone, the Department will have approximately 38,000 structures that reach 50 years of age.

To reduce the costs associated with maintaining historic properties, the Department should pursue innovative funding sources and operating methods. Several examples were discussed during the Historic Properties hearing on March 15, 2001, such as expanded gift acceptance authority, leasing to third parties like the

Park Service, and demolition where appropriate.

During the hearing, the General Accounting Office (GAO) reported that inventory control systems available to the services are inaccurate. The Committee recommends the services work with the Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations and Environment) to develop better inventory controls and a plan that addresses the increasing number of aging structures. Furthermore, the Committee directs the Department to conduct a seminar on working with State Historic Preservation Offices. Finally, the Committee directs the Department to pursue a cooperative agreement with the National Trust for Historic Preservation for Cold War era housing, such as Capehart, Wherry and Lustron homes, that is similar to the 1986 programmatic memorandum of agreement on World War II wooden buildings.

# OVERSEAS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

This year, the Committee held several hearings about the condition of overseas military installations and facilities. The Commanders-in-Chief of the European, Pacific, and Korean Commands provided testimony. General Joseph Ralston, Admiral Dennis Blair, and General Thomas Schwartz testified their top two spending priorities were military construction and real property maintenance, and the Committee commends them for their leadership.

Despite being unable to include a substantial increase in overseas construction projects, the Committee would like to highlight several items of interest. First, the European Command priorities of better housing and vehicle maintenance facilities are duly warranted. Further, the Committee commends General Ralston and his staff for their efforts to close and consolidate bases scattered throughout Europe and to find alternative funding sources. The Committee believes the Command would benefit from a consolidated European master plan, and encourages DoD and General Ralston to consider the feasibility of developing a master plan and report the findings to the Committee.

Admiral Blair testified that as the Pacific Command becomes more strategically important, its installations would require substantial upgrades, particularly in the area of technology. In addition, he emphasized the need to improve the living conditions throughout the Command, particularly in Korea, in order to retain

highly trained troops and staff.

General Schwartz concurred with Admiral Blair, testifying that some of the worst housing and working conditions in the Pacific Command are in Korea. To ameliorate the conditions, General Schwartz has developed a Land Partnership Plan that consolidates many existing camps and relocates troops to more suitable areas. The Committee commends him for his efforts and will review the plan when the Quadrenniel Defense Review (QDR), which will discuss force structure requirements in Korea, is submitted to Congress.

Finally, the Committee notes that the Korean Special Measures Agreement (SMA) will be re-negotiated in the near future. DoD is encouraged to aggressively pursue additional host nation funding consistent with the overall congressional goal of seventy-five percent contained in the 1998 Defense Authorization bill.

# **ENERGY EFFICIENCIES**

The Committee encourages energy efficiency and the maximum use of energy produced from noncarbon and renewable sources at military installations. The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense is mandated to comply with Executive Order 13123 ("Greening the Government Through Efficient Energy Management") to meet energy management requirements, reduce greenhouse gases, and expand the use of renewable sources.

To assist DOD in complying with the Executive Order, and to build upon the effort to expand the use of noncarbon and renewable sources, the Committee directs that not later than January 1, 2003, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a plan to achieve at military installations the goals specified in sections 201, 202, and 203 of Executive Order 13123 (64 Fed. Reg. 30851; 42 U.S.C. 8251 note), relating to greenhouse gases reduction goals and energy efficiency improvement goals, by maximizing the use of (1) energy efficiency products and services and (2) energy produced from noncarbon and renewable sources.

# BUILDING TO PRIVATE SECTOR STANDARDS

Section 2803 of the National Defense Authorization Act, 2001 (Public Law 106–398) repealed the military family housing square footage limitations included in Section 2826 of title 10, United States Code. These limitations were in place for many years and were inconsistent with housing constructed in the private sector.

Waiving these limitations should create incentives for the military departments to replace large, inefficient housing units with more energy efficient and appropriately sized homes to meet the needs of military families. For instance, some General and Flag Officer Quarters (GFOQs) exceed 5,000 square feet because they were built for other purposes many decades ago. Because many of these homes are approaching 50 years of age, the minimum age for inclusion on the National Historic Preservation list, they would require special handling for maintenance and repair requirements. As a result, the costs to maintain and improve these homes generally exceed the costs for new homes built in the private sector.

The Committee directs the Department to replace larger, inefficient housing units with housing built to private sector standards wherever feasible. Building to local private sector standards could save the Department the added cost and burden of maintaining historic homes. Furthermore, when executing projects included in the fiscal year 2002 appropriation, the Committee expects the Department to construct housing to community standards rather than to the standards previously included in Section 2826 of title 10,

United States Code.

#### CONTINGENCY FUNDING

Most construction projects include a five percent reserve account to offset any unexpected project costs, called contingency costs. Last year, the Department did not include contingency costs in their budget request in an attempt to reduce the overall budget request. This year, however, the Department has requested funds sufficient to cover these costs for every project, and the Committee commends them for taking this action.

#### SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, AND MODERNIZATION

The Department is directed to continue describing on form 1390, the backlog of Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization needs at installations with future construction projects. For troop housing requests, the form 1391 should describe any Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization conducted in the past two years, and future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation. Additionally, the forms should include English equivalent measurements for projects presented in metric measurement. Finally, the rules for funding repairs of facilities under the Operation and Maintenance account are described below:

- Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement can be up to current standards or codes.
- Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.
- Such projects may be done concurrently with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.
- The appropriate service secretary shall notify the appropriate Committees 21 days prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$7,500,000.

# FISCAL YEAR 2002 BARRACKS REQUEST

The Committee recommends appropriating \$1,200,525,000 to construct or modernize 15,466 barracks spaces in fiscal year 2002.

This recommendation is \$35,090,000 above the request, and \$391,325,000 above the amount enacted in fiscal year 2001. This recommendation also constructs or renovates an additional 1,854 barracks spaces, and maintains the departmental goal of eliminating all inadequate barracks by 2008.

The following troop housing construction projects are recommended for fiscal year 2002:

FISCAL YEAR 2002 TROOP HOUSING PROJECTS

Location	Request	Recommended
Army:		
Alaska-Fort Richardson	\$45,000,000	\$45,000,00
California-Defense Language Institute	0	5,900,00
Colorado-Fort Carson	25,000,000	25,000,00
Hawaii-Schofield Barracks	23,000,000	23,000,00
Hawaii-Wheeler Army Airfield	50,000,000	50,000,00
Kentucky-Fort Campbell	47,000,000	47,000,00
New Jersey-Fort Monmouth	20,000,000	20,000,00
North Carolina-Fort Bragg	49,000,000	49,000,00
North Carolina-Fort Bragg	27,000,000	27,000,00
North Carolina-Fort Bragg	17,500,000	17,500,00
Texas-Fort Hood	41,000,000	41,000,00
Washington-Fort Lewis	48,000,000	48,000.00
Germany-Bamberg	20,000,000	20,000,00
Germany-Darmstadt	6,700,000	6,700,00
Germany-Darmstadt	6,800,000	6,800,00
Germany-Hanau	7,200,000	7,200,00
Germany-Heidelberg	6.800.000	6.800.00
Germany-Heidelberg	8,500,000	8,500,00
Korea-Camp Hovey	33,000,000	33,000,00
Korea-Camp Humphreys	14,500,000	14,500,00
	, ,	28.000.00
Korea-Camp Stanley Korea-Yongsan Garrison	28,000,000 0	12,800,00
Noted-Toligodii uditiodii	U	12,000,00
Subtotal, Army	524,000,000	542,700,00
lavy/Marine Corps:		
California-Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base	21,200,000	21,200,00
California-Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base	21,600,000	21,600,00
California-El Centro Naval Air Facility	23,520,000	23,520,00
California-Lemoore Naval Air Station	10,010,000	10,010,00
California-San Diego Naval Station	47,240,000	47,240,00
California-Twentynine Palms	29,675,000	29,675,00
District of Columbia-Anacostia	9,810,000	9,810,00
Florida-Mayport Naval Station	16,420,000	16.420.00
Hawaii-Kaneohe Bay Marine Corps Base	24,920,000	24,920,00
Hawaii-Pearl Harbor Naval Complex	17,300,000	17,300,00
Hawaii-Pearl Harbor Naval Complex	23,300,000	23,300,00
Illinois-Great Lakes Naval Training Center	41,130,000	41,130,00
Illinois-Great Lakes Naval Training Center	41,130,000	41,130,00
•	, ,	, ,
Maine-Brunswick Naval Air Station	22,630,000 14,300,000	22,630,00 14,300,00
Missouri-Kansas City Marine Corps Support Activity	9,010,000	9,010,00
North Carolina-Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base	16,530,000	16,530,00
North Carolina-Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base	13,550,000	13,550,00
South Carolina-Beaufort Naval Hospital	0	7,330,00
Virginia-Norfolk Naval Station	14,730,000	14,730,00
Virginia-Quantico Marine Corps Combat Development Command	9,390,000	9,390,00
Greece-Larissa Naval Support Activity	12,240,000	12,240,00
Guam-Guam Naval Support Activity	9,300,000	9,300,00
Subtotal, Navy=	448,935,000	456,265,00
Air Force:		
Alabama-Maxwell AFB	11,800,000	11,800,00

# FISCAL YEAR 2002 TROOP HOUSING PROJECTS—Continued

Location	Request	Recommended
Alabama-Maxwell AFB	13,600,000	13,600,000
Alaska-Elmendorf AFB	20,000,000	20,000,000
Arizona-Davis-Monthan AFB	8,700,000	8,700,000
Colorado-Buckley AFB	11,200,000	11,200,000
Oklahoma-Tinker AFB	10,200,000	10,200,000
Texas-Lackland AFB	8,600,000	8,600,000
Texas-Sheppard AFB	16,000,000	16,000,000
Texas-Sheppard AFB	21,000,000	21,000,000
Virginia-Langley AFB	8,300,000	8,300,000
Germany-Ramstein AB	11,000,000	11,000,000
Italy-Aviano AB	8,200,000	8,200,000
Korea-Osan AB	14,400,000	14,400,000
Korea-Osan AB	15,800,000	15,800,000
Korea-Osan AB	9,700,000	9,700,000
Turkey-Eskisehir	4,000,000	4,000,000
Subtotal, Air Force	192,500,000	192,500,000
Navy Reserve:		
Texas-Fort Worth Joint Reserve Base	0	9,060,000
Subtotal, Navy Reserve	0	9,060,000
Total	1,165,435,000	1,200,525,000

#### CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

The Committee recommends \$42,660,000 for child development centers. This is \$17,200,000 above the budget request, and \$466,000 below last year's enacted level.

Child Development Centers (CDCs) remain critically important for military families, especially single parents, dual-income families, and spouses left behind during deployments, and the Committee commends the individual services on the quality of care CDCs provide. However, the Committee remains concerned with the limited number of spaces and hours available at these centers, and encourages the service components to expand service and increase hours where demand warrants it, and to provide acceptable alternatives where it does not.

The following child development center projects are provided for fiscal year 2002:

FISCAL YEAR 2002 CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

Location	Request	Recommended
Army:		
Kansas-Fort Riley	\$6,800,000	\$6,800,000
Maryland-Fort Meade	5,800,000	5,800,000
Germany-Bamberg	0	6,500,000
Germany-Weisbaden	6,800,000	6,800,000
Subtotal, Army	19,400,000	25,900,000
Navy/Marine Corps:		
South Carolina-Beaufort Marine Corps Air Station	6,060,000	6,060,000
Subtotal, Navy	6,060,000	6,060,000
Air Force	·	·
Arizona-Davis-Monthan AFB	0	6,200,000

#### FISCAL YEAR 2002 CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS—Continued

Location	Request	Recommended
Arizona-Luke AFB	0	4,500,000
Subtotal, Air Force	0	10,700,000
	25,460,000	42,660,000

# HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL FACILITIES

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends appropriating \$198,826,000 for hospitals and medical facilities. The request includes \$193,300,000 for 22 projects, and \$5,526,000 for unspecified minor construction. The recommended appropriation is

\$57,589,000 above last year's enacted level.

The Committee recognizes and commends the Army's application of population-based planning and industry-based facility assessments in their development of medical and other facility assessments in their development of medical and other facility infrastructure repair and recapitalization requirements. The Committee is interested in how DoD interprets and further develops requirements into rational, life-cycle based investments to support the Defense Health Program. Using the GAO findings in Report NS/IAD–99–100 that outline the needs of DoD infrastructure, the Department is directed to the committee Committee. ment is directed to provide to the appropriate Committees a strategy for medical facility and installation asset management and funding by May 15, 2002.

The following hospital and medical facilities are recommended

for fiscal year 2002:

Location		Project Title	Request	Recommended
Alaska-Fort Wainwright		Hospital Replacement (Phase III)	\$18,500,000	\$18,500,000
California-Camp Pendleton Marine Base.	Corps	Fleet Hospital Support Facilities	3,150,000	3,150,000
California-Camp Pendleton Marine Base.	Corps	Replace Medical/Dental Clinic (Horno)	4,300,000	4,300,000
California-Camp Pendleton Marine Base.	Corps	Replace Medical/Dental Clinic (Las Flores)	3,800,000	3,800,000
California-Camp Pendleton Marine Base.	Corps	Replace Medical/Dental Clinic (Las Pulgas)	4,050,000	4,050,000
California-Twentynine Palms		Hospital LDRP Conversion	1.600.000	1.600.000
Colorado-Schriever AFB		Medical/Dental Clinic	4,000,000	4,000,000
Florida-Hurlburt Field		Add/Alter Medical/Dental Clinic	8,800,000	8,800,000
Florida-Mayport Naval Station		Replace Medical/Dental Clinic	24,000,000	24,000,000
Georgia-Albany Marine Corps Log Base.	gistics	Replace Medical/Dental Clinic	5,800,000	5,800,000
Georgia-Fort Stewart		Consolidated Troop Medical Clinic	11,000,000	11,000,000
Maryland-Andrews AFB		Add/Alter Medical/Dental Clinic	7,300,000	7,300,000
Maryland-Andrews AFB		Branch Medical/Dental Clinic Relocation	2,950,000	2,950,000
New Mexico-Holloman AFB		Medical Clinic Alteration	5,700,000	5,700,000
Texas-Dyess AFB		Medical Treatment Facility Alteration	3,300,000	3,300,000
Texas-Fort Hood		Add/Alter Hospital	12,200,000	12,200,000
Virginia-Norfolk		Add/Alter Branch Medical Clinic	21,000,000	21,000,000
Washington-Whidbey Island Naval Ai tion.	r Sta-	Water Survival Facility	6,600,000	6,600,000
Wyoming-F. E. Warren AFB		Medical Clinic Alteration	2,700,000	2,700,000
Germany-Heidelberg		Hospital Addition/Clinic Alteration	28,000,000	28,000,000
Greenland-Thule AB		Composite Medical Facility Replacement	10,800,000	10,800,000
Portugal-Lajes Field		Dental Clinic Replacement	3,750,000	3,750,000
Various		Unspecified Minor Construction	5,526,000	5,526,000
Total			198,826,000	198,826,000

# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	\$907,243,000
Miscellaneous Appropriation (P.L. 106–554)	26,941,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	
Total	943,328,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	1,760,541,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,702,934,000
Comparison with:	, , ,
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+759,606,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	-57.607.000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,702,934,000 for Military Construction, Army, for fiscal year 2002. This is a decrease of \$57,607,000 below the budget request and an increase of

\$759,606,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

The budget request proposes, as it has for several years, that chemical demilitarization projects be appropriated in this account. However, the Committee recommends that the request of \$172,500,000 be appropriated in the "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account, in order to better track expenses, and to avoid distorting the size of the Army's military construction program.

Pennsylvania-Tobyhanna Army Depot: Training and Conference Center.—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design within this account, the Committee directs that not less than

\$225,000 be made available to design this facility.

Worldwide Classified-Classified Location: Classified Project.— The Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2000 (Public Law 106–52) appropriated \$36,400,0000 for a classified project at a classified location. This project has been cancelled. As a result, the Committee rescinds \$36,400,000 from the "Military Construction, Army" account.

# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	\$926,224,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	3,187,000
Total	929,411,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	1,071,408,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,134,660,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+63,252,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,134,660,000 for Military Construction, Navy, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of \$63,252,000 above the budget request and an increase of \$205,249,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The Committee rescinds \$19,588,000 of unobligated planning and design funds from this account. These funds will be used to offset unfunded Navy BRAC requirements.

California-Monterey Naval Postgraduate School: Replacement of Spanagel Hall.—The Committee is aware that a new academic facility is needed at the Naval Postgraduate School. Spanagel Hall is almost 50 years old and is costly to maintain. Furthermore, the facility suffers from substandard classrooms and laboratories incapable of supporting today's advanced technologies. A new facility is critical to the continued success of graduate education for the Navy. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Navy to make this

project a priority and program the requirement within the Future Years Defense Plan.

Mississippi-Meridian NAS: Airfield Lighting.—The Committee is aware that the existing airfield electrical distribution system at the Meridian Naval Air Station (NAS) is 40 years old and impedes flight operations. In addition, the current lighting system in place at Meridian NAS does not meet Naval Air Systems Command criteria. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Navy to make this project a priority within the Future Years Defense Plan.

project a priority within the Future Years Defense Plan.

Washington-Keyport Naval Undersea Warfare Center.—The Committee understands that a Center for Integrated Undersea Warfare (USW) Systems Dependability is needed at the Keyport Naval Undersea Warfare Center to integrate all range communications and worldwide communication links for the Northwest Range Complex and ensure access to the Complex for all fleet and Navy customers. Consequently, the Committee encourages the Navy to make this project a priority within the Future Years Defense Program.

Japan-Camp Schwab: 3rd Marine Expeditionary Force Training Facility.—With the additional funds provided for Unspecified Minor Construction, the Committee directs the Navy to execute a project in the amount of \$1,490,000 to provide this facility.

#### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	\$868,294,000
Miscellaneous Appropriation (P.L. 106–554)	11,974,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	5,065,000
Total	885,333,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	1,068,250,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,185,220,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+299,887,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+116 970 000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,185,220,000 for Military Construction, Air Force, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of \$116,970,000 above the budget request and an increase of \$299.887,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

of \$299,887,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

Delaware-Dover Air Force Base.—The Committee recognizes that an Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) compliant fire station and a modern control tower at Dover Air Force Base are vital to the mission capability of our armed services. Funding for these projects will increase safety, efficiency, and effectiveness of Dover Air Force Base. The Committee considers these projects as top priorities, and encourages the Air Force to include this project in the Future Years Defense Plan.

# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	\$812,839,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	$-14,\!376,\!000$
Total	798,463,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	694,558,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	852,808,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+54,345,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+158,250,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$852,808,000 for Military Construction, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of \$158,250,000 above the budget request and an increase of

\$54,345,000 above the fiscal year 2001 level.

Aruba-Forward Operating Location.—Division B, Title III, Chapter 3 of the Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2001 (Public Law 106-246) appropriated a total of \$10,250,000 to construct a Forward Operating Location in Aruba. This requirement is no longer needed. Therefore, the Committee rescinds \$10,250,000 from the "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account.

Chemical Demilitarization.—The 1986 National Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 99-145) authorized the Chemical Demilitarization program for the purpose of destroying all U.S. stockpiled chemical agents and weapons by April 29, 2007. In 1991, Congress expanded the law to include the destruction of chemical warfare material not part of the stockpile, such as buried munitions and former weapons production facilities. The Department of the Army is the agent responsible for program management and oversight. As requested by the President, the Committee recommends appropriating \$172,500,000 for chemical demilitarization projects, which is \$2,900,000 below the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2001. The following chart displays the fiscal year 2002 funding increments:

State	Installation	Project	Request	Recommended
Arkansas	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Ammunition Demilitarization Facil- ity (Phase VI).	\$26,000,000	\$26,000,000
Colorado	Pueblo Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facil- ity (Phase III).	11,000,000	11,000,000
Indiana	Newport Army Ammunition Plant	Ammunition Demilitarization Facil- ity (Phase IV).	66,000,000	66,000,000
Kentucky	Blue Grass Army Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facil- ity (Phase II).	3,000,000	3,000,000
Maryland	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase IV).	66,500,000	66,500,000
Total			172,500,000	172,500,000

# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	\$281,097,000
Appropriation	4,490,000
Total	285,587,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	267,389,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	313,348,000
Comparison with:	, ,
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+27,761,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+45,959,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$313,348,000 for Military Construction, Army National Guard, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of \$45,959,000 above the budget request and an increase

of \$27,761,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

Arkansas-Warren: Readiness Center.—The Committee is aware that a new armory is needed to accommodate various classrooms, offices and utility-related rooms for the 3rd Battalion 153 Infantry in Warren, Arkansas. The Committee encourages the Army National Guard to make this project a priority within the Future Years Defense Plan.

Michigan-Shiawassee County: Readiness Center.—The Committee understands that a readiness center in Shiawassee County, Michigan would alleviate the problems currently associated with soldiers assigned to an overcrowded, substandard, and aged facility in nearby Owosso. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Army National Guard to make this project a priority within the Future Years Defense Plan.

# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	\$203,381,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	6,700,000
Total	210,081,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	149,072,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	198,803,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	$-11,\!278,\!000$
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+49,731,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$198,803,000 for Military Construction, Air National Guard, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of \$49,731,000 above the budget request and a decrease of

\$11,278,000 below the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

Montana-Malmstrom AFB: Training and Mobility Storage Facility.—The Committee understands that a training and mobility storage facility is needed for the Montana Air National Guard's 219th Red Horse team for storage of mobility equipment, cargo preparation and processing, equipment maintenance, and an indoor team training area. Consequently, the Committee encourages the Air National Guard to make this project a priority within the Future Years Defense Plan.

South Carolina-McEntire Air National Guard Station: Joint Headquarters.—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that not less

than \$1,331,000 be made available to design this facility.

Delaware-New Castle County Airport.—The Committee recognizes that an upgraded parking apron/taxiway for the Air National Guard at New Castle County Airport is vital to the mission capability of our armed services. Funding for this project will increase safety, efficiency, and effectiveness of the Air National Guard at New Castle County Airport. The Committee considers this project a top priority, and encourages the Air National Guard to include the project in the Future Years Defense Plan.

# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	\$108,499,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	111,404,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	167,769,000
Comparison with:	, ,
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+59,270,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+56,365,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$167,769,000 for Military Construction, Army Reserve, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of \$56,365,000 above the budget request and an increase of \$59,270,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

Pennsylvania-Johnstown: Security Improvements.—With the additional funds provided for Unspecified Minor Construction, the

Committee directs the Army Reserve to execute a project in the amount of \$500,000 to improve security at this location.

# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVAL RESERVE

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	\$61,931,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	33,641,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	61,426,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	-505,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+27,785,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$61,426,000 for Military Construction, Naval Reserve, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of \$27,785,000 above the budget request and a decrease of \$505,000 below the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The Committee rescinds \$925,000 of unobligated planning and design funds from this account. These funds will be used to offset unfunded Navy BRAC requirements.

Louisiana-New Orleans Joint Reserve Base: Joint Reserve Center and Runway Extension.—The Committee recommends \$10,000,000 for the second phase of the Joint Reserve Center at the New Orleans Joint Reserve Base (JRB). This joint use center will include the Army Reserve and other reserve components. As stated in the House Report accompanying the fiscal year 2001 Military Construction Appropriations Bill (Report 106–614), this multi-service center can dramatically increase deployment, mobilization, and training capabilities. In addition to this project, the Department of the Navy is encouraged to complete design of the 4,000-foot runway extension project at the New Orleans JRB and make the project a priority within the Future Years Defense Plan.

# MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	\$36,510,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	53,732,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	81,882,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+45,372,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+28,150,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$81,882,000 for Military Construction, Air Force Reserve, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of \$28,150,000 above the budget request and an increase of \$45,372,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

\$45,372,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

C-17 Facilities.—Of the additional amount provided for planning and design within this account, the Committee directs that no less than \$3,000,000 be made available for planning and site assessments of March AFB and Wright-Patterson AFB in order to provide long-term support for C-17 aircraft within Air Force Reserve Command operations.

# NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	\$171,622,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	162,600,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	162,600,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	-9,022,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	0

The NATO Security Investment Program (NSIP) is paid for with annual contributions by NATO member countries. The program finances the costs of construction needed to support the roles of the major NATO commands. The investments cover facilities such as airfields, fuel pipelines and storage, harbors, communications and information systems, radar and navigational aids, and military headquarters. The U.S. share of the NSIP for fiscal year 2002 is \$199,000,000, or roughly 25% of the total NSIP program amount of \$802,000,000.

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends \$162,600,000 for the NSIP, which is a decrease of \$9,022,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 2001. To offset the total U.S. share of the program, \$11,000,000 from projected savings from recoupments of pre-financed projects, and \$25,000,000 from prior year obligations, are available for expenditure.

In each year since 1997, the U.S. has been forced to temporarily block authorization of projects due to shortfalls in U.S. obligation authority. The Committee is concerned that the U.S. has been placed in this position, and has been assured by DoD that the total U.S. program share of \$199,000,000 is sufficient to preclude similar action in the future. Nevertheless, the Committee intends to monitor the program, and directs DoD to notify the Committee within 30 days after taking such action.

# FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

Historically, housing for military personnel and their families has been a low priority for DoD. Consequently, the inventory is old and in most cases is substandard. Currently, DoD estimates that 180,000 of the 300,000 military family housing units it owns and operates are substandard, and that it would cost more than \$16 billion to improve or replace them.

In testimony before this subcommittee on March 8, 2001, senior enlisted service members stated that housing was one of the most important factors soldiers, sailors, airmen, and Marines consider when deciding whether to remain on active duty or to leave the service. Military spouses who testified before the subcommittee echoed these statements.

To ameliorate the costs associated with providing decent housing, Congress authorized the Military Housing Privatization Initiative. The initiative's intent is to create more housing quickly, to attract private capital, and to make the private sector responsible for providing routine maintenance for the term of the contract. Whether the initiative has been successful is still unclear.

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends funding of \$1,165,309,000 for family housing construction for fiscal year 2002, an increase of \$50,880,000 above the budget request, and \$261,224,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The following chart provides a service component breakout of the current family housing deficit, both in units and in cost of new construction, replacement, and improvements:

19

#### **DEFICITS (CURRENT PROJECTIONS)**

[Dollars in thousands]

	New construction	Replacement	Improvement	Grand total
Army:				
Number of Units	1,368	3,724	26,769	31,861
Costs	\$410,400	\$604,404	\$2,003,240	\$3,018,044
Navy:				
Number of Units	15,600	5,569	18,801	39,970
Costs	2,294,300	1,162,000	1,737,200	5,193,500
Marine Corps:				
Number of Units	9,449	8,501	7,805	25,755
Costs	1,457,200	1,696,900	454,500	3,608,600
Air Force:				
Number of Units	6,000	26,300	32,900	65,200
Costs	780,845	3,421,860	2,959,110	7,161,815
Total DOD:				
Number of Units	32,417	44,094	86,275	162,786
Costs	4,942,745	6,885,164	7,154,050	18,981,959

The fiscal year 2002 request for operation and maintenance totals \$2,939,969,000. The operations and maintenance accounts provide for annual family housing expenditures for maintenance and repair, furnishings, management, services, utilities, leasing, interest, mortgage insurance, and miscellaneous expenses. The Committee recommends a total of \$2,908,409,000 for fiscal year 2002 which is \$31,560,000 below the President's request and \$154,876,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

# UTILITY ESTIMATES

The Committee includes a total reduction of \$30,000,000 to the following appropriations because current utility rates are more favorable than the Department predicted when it submitted its fiscal year 2002 budget request:

Account Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Army Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Navy and Marine	$-\$11,\!000,\!000$
Corps	$^{-8,000,000}_{-11,000,000}$
Total	- \$30,000,000

# FOREIGN CURRENCY SAVINGS

Once again, the Committee directs that savings from foreign currency re-estimates be used to maintain existing family housing units. The Comptroller is directed to report to the Committee on how these savings are allocated by December 1, 2002. Likewise, only 10% of funds made available to the construction account and operation and maintenance accounts may be transferred between the accounts. Such transfers must be reported to the Committee within thirty days of such action.

# LEASING REPORTING REQUIREMENT

The Committee continues the reporting requirement for both domestic and foreign leases. For domestic leases (not funded by the Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund), the Department is directed to report quarterly on the details of all new or renewal domestic leases entered into during the previous quarter which ex-

ceed \$12,000 per unit per year, including certification that less expensive housing was not available for lease. For foreign leases, the Department is directed to: perform an economic analysis on all new leases or lease/contract agreements where more than 25 units are involved; report the details of new or renewal lease that exceeds \$20,000 per year (as adjusted for foreign currency fluctuation from October 1, 1987, but not adjusted for inflation) 21 days prior to entering into such an agreement; and base leasing decisions on the economic analysis.

#### REPROGRAMMING CRITERIA

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) also apply to new housing construction projects and improvement projects over \$2,000,000.

# FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	\$235,437,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	291,542,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	294,042,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+58,605,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+2,500,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$294,042,000 for Family Housing Construction, Army, for fiscal year 2002. This appropriation is an increase of \$2,500,000 above the budget request, and an increase of \$58,605,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The appropriation includes \$61,700,000 to construct new family housing units, \$220,750,000 to improve existing units, and \$11,592,000 for planning and design.

California-Presidio of Monterey: Residential Communities Initiative. The military family housing at the Presidio of Monterey is

California-Presidio of Monterey: Residential Communities Initiative.—The military family housing at the Presidio of Monterey is scheduled for privatization under the Residential Communities Initiative (RCI) program in fiscal year 2002. The scope of the planned project is 1,675 housing units. The National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2000 (Public Law 106–65) included a provision, which expanded the types of entities eligible to participate in the Military Housing Privatization Initiative (MHPI) to include a State or local government, or a housing authority of a State or local government. Therefore, the Committee expects that governmental entities, specifically local housing authorities, shall have standing to submit proposals and compete fairly for the privatization of military family housing at the Presidio of Monterey in California.

#### FAMILY HOUSING OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Fiscal year 2001: Appropriation	\$949,655,000 26,480,000 976,135,000 1,108,991,000 1,096,431,000
	1,096,431,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 total appropriation	+120,296,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	-12,560,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,096,431,000 for Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Army, for fiscal year 2002.

This appropriation is a decrease of \$12,560,000 below the budget request, and an increase of \$120,296,000 above the fiscal year 2001

appropriation.

Maintenance and Repair: General Quarters.—The Committee defers \$1,560,000 from the maintenance and repair account until further justification is provided for two general officer quarters projects. Specifically, the Committee defers \$1,200,000 for the maintenance and repair of Quarters 3 at Fort McNair in Washington, DC; and \$360,000 for the maintenance and repair of Quarters 1000 at Camp Zama in Japan.

#### Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	\$417,235,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	304,400,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	334,780,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 total appropriation	-82,455,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+30,380,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$334,780,000 for Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of \$30,380,000 above the budget request, and a decrease of \$82,455,000 below the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The appropriation includes \$124,847,000 to construct new family housing units, \$203,434,000 to improve existing units, and \$6,499,000 for planning and design.

Construction Improvements.—Of the amount provided for construction improvements, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to execute the following projects: \$11,840,000 for whole-site revitalization (69 units) at Barking Sands Pacific Missile Range Facility in Hawaii, \$6.940,000 for whole house revitalization (124 units) at Westover Air Reserve Base in Massachusetts, and \$1,600,000 to renovate Quarters 1 and Quarters 3 at 8th and I Marine Barracks

in Washington, DC.

Washington, DC—8th and I Marine Barracks: Historic Residences.—The Committee notes the Secretary of the Navy is authorized to use funds received pursuant to section 2601 of title 10, United States Code, for the construction, improvement, repair, and maintenance of historic residences located at the 8th and I Marine barracks. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to use funds received pursuant to this authority to offset the total cost of all construction improvement projects at 8th and I Marine Barracks included in the fiscal year 2002 appropriation.

#### Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Navy and MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	\$879,625,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	20,300,000
Total	899,925,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	918,095,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	910,095,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 total appropriation	+10,170,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	-8,000,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$910,095,000 for Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps, for fiscal year 2002. This appropriation is a decrease of \$8,000,000 below the budget request, and an increase of \$10,170,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

# Family Housing Construction, Air Force

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	\$251,413,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	518,237,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	536,237,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+284,824,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	+18,000,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$536,237,000 for Family Housing Construction, Air Force, for fiscal year 2002. This appropriation is an increase of \$18,000,000 above the budget request, and an increase of \$284,824,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The appropriation includes \$140,800,000 to construct new family housing units, \$370,879,000 to improve existing units, and \$24,558,000 for planning and design.

Construction Improvements.—Of the amount provided for construction improvements, the Secretary of the Air Force is directed to execute the following project: \$18,000,000 for Whole Neighborhood Revitalization (164 units) at Whiteman Air Force Base in Missouri.

# FAMILY HOUSING OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	\$819,061,000
Supplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	13,625,000
Total	832,686,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	869,121,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	858,121,000
Comparison with:.	
Fiscal year 2001 total appropriation	+25,435,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	-11,000,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$858,121,000 for Family Housing Operations and Maintenance, Air Force, for fiscal year 2002. This appropriation is a decrease of \$11,000,000 below the budget request, and an increase of \$25,435,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation.

#### Family Housing, Defense-wide

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	\$44,787,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	44,012,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	44,012,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	-775,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	0

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends \$44,012,000 for Family Housing, Defense-wide, for fiscal year 2002. This amount is a decrease of \$775,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 2001. Of this amount \$250,000 is for construction, \$43,762,000 is for operations and maintenance.

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	0
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	\$2,000,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	2,000,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+2,000,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	0

The Family Housing Improvement Fund is used to build or renovate family housing by mixing or matching various authorities in the authorization, and by utilizing private capital and expertise to the maximum extent possible. The fund, administered as a single account without fiscal year limitations, contains appropriated and transferred funds from family housing construction accounts. The total value in budget authority of all contracts and investments undertaken may not exceed \$850,000,000. Proceeds from investments, leases, and conveyances are deposited into this fund, and any use of the fund is subject to annual appropriations. The authority to utilize the alternative authorities is due to expire on December 31, 2004; however, the Committee supports the House Armed Services Committee proposal to make the authorities permanent.

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee recommends \$2,000,000 for the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund for fiscal year 2002, which is \$2,000,000 above the fiscal year 2001 appropriation. The Department is directed to continue providing quarterly status reports on each privatization project.

# HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	0
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	\$10,119,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	10,119,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	+10,119,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	0

The Homeowners Assistance Fund is a non-expiring revolving fund which provides assistance to homeowners. The fund was established in recognition that base closure and reduction actions cause adverse economic impacts on local communities. Service members may access the fund when military installations are closed or operations are reduced, and the value of their home diminishes accordingly. The fund receives funding from several sources: appropriations, borrowing authority, reimbursable authority, prior fiscal year unobligated balances, revenue from sale of acquired properties, and recovery of prior year obligations.

Consistent with the budget request, the Committee appropriates \$10,119,000 for the Homeowners Assistance Fund for fiscal year 2002, which is \$10,119,000 above the appropriation for fiscal year 2001

Total Fund requirements for fiscal year 2002 are estimated to be \$31,615,000. Additional amounts will be derived from transfers from the Base Realignment and Closure account, revenue from sales of acquired property, and prior year unobligated balances.

# BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2001:	
Appropriation	\$1,022,115,000
AppropriationSupplemental Appropriation (P.L. 107–20)	9,000,000
Total	1,031,115,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	\$532,200,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	552,713,000
Comparison with:	, ,
Fiscal year 2001 appropriation	-478,402,000
Fiscal year 2002 estimate	

The Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1988 (Public Law 100–526) and the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–510) enacted legislation that instituted four base realignment and closure (BRAC) rounds between 1988 and 1995 for the purposes of reducing excess military bases and infrastructure. The BRAC rounds closed 97 of 495 major domestic installations, realigned several other facilities, and are estimated to save \$15.5 billion through fiscal year 2001. BRAC legislation requires DoD to fund the environmental restoration and caretaker costs for facilities closed in previous BRAC rounds.

The Committee is recommending \$552,713,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure Account for fiscal year 2002, which is \$478,402,000 below the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2001, and \$20,513,000 above the President's request. The additional amount is for previously unfunded cleanup requirements at Navy BRAC sites. Of the total amount provided, \$544,983,000 is for environmental restoration and caretaker costs of facilities closed under previous rounds of BRAC. Also included in the appropriation is \$7,730,000 that will be transferred during execution to the Homeowner's Assistance Program to provide assistance to military personnel and civilian homeowners affected by base closures.

The Congress has appropriated, to date, a net total of \$21,141,854,000 for the BRAC program from fiscal years 1990 through 2001. Within this total, the Department has allocated \$6,868,497,000 for activities associated with environmental restoration

The Committee, in appropriating such funds, has provided the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by service component, by functions and by base. Recognizing the complexities of providing for environmental restoration of properties, the Committee has provided flexibility to allow the Office of the Secretary of Defense to monitor program execution to redistribute unobligated balances as appropriate to avoid delays, and to effect timely execution of environmental cleanup responsibilities.

California-Fort Ord: Affordable Housing.—There are circumstances under which local reuse authorities stand to generate substantial revenues from the conveyance of closed or realigned bases and the facilities on the installation. In those instances, it is appropriate for the authority to work cooperatively with the services, and state and local governments, to ensure that those revenues are directed towards public purposes.

For example, in Monterey County, California, the local base reuse authority stands to generate substantial revenues from the conveyance of Fort Ord by developing new homes on open land as well as retrofitting existing homes on the base, for which the authority paid nothing, and selling this housing at prices that reflect the market rates in the area. Though the plan of the reuse authority is well-intentioned, the area suffers from a serious shortage of housing that is affordable for lower and middle-income families. The median home price in the area is \$400,000, which is simply unaffordable to working families making 60 or 80 percent of area median income.

Clearly, it is in the best interests of the local reuse authority and government to find ways to make affordable homes available for hard working families, particularly when the property is being conveyed at no cost to the community. There are many housing programs—private and public—that undertake multi-income projects with little effort and minimal effects on profit margins. The reuse authority in Monterey County is urged to consider including such a program in its overall reuse plan. In addition, the authority is urged to increase the amount of affordable housing at the former Fort Ord.

California-Fort Ord: Hazardous Waste from Building Removal.—The Committee is concerned about the environmental challenges associated with the base closure re-use issues at the former Fort Ord in California and the disposal of lead-based paint (LBP), asbestos, and Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) that will be generated during building removal. Accordingly, the Army shall develop, demonstrate, and validate innovative technologies to specifically address the remediation of LBP, asbestos, and PCBs generated from the 12th Street Corridor building removal at Fort Ord. These technologies may include, but are not necessarily limited to, thermochemical conversion processes. A successful technology solution from this effort will also be beneficial for other closed or realigned defense installations facing similar challenges.

The Department of the Army shall work with the Fort Ord Reuse Authority in its efforts to advance the 12th Street Corridor Project and report on the progress of these technology efforts as part of the mandated Environmental Quality Technology Report to Congress until this project is completed, at which time a final report specifically focused on this initiative will be provided to Congress which should make suggestions for further building removal activities.

# GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Administration proposed eliminating several general provisions enacted in P.L. 106–246: sections 111, 113, 119, 121, 122, 124, 125, and 128–139. The Committee recommends retaining every provision except for sections 128–139. Additionally, the Administration proposed a new provision that allowed the transfer of up to \$67,000,000 among any accounts in the bill. The Committee did not include this provision.

General Provisions included in the bill are as follows:

Section 101 of the General Provisions limits DoD from spending funds appropriated in this Act for payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for construction where cost estimates exceed \$25,000. An exception for Alaska is provided.

Section 102 of the General Provisions permits the hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Section 103 of the General Provisions permits funds to be expended on the construction of defense access roads under certain circumstances.

Section 104 of the General Provisions prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States without a specific appropriation.

Section 105 of the General Provisions limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements that exceed 100% of value.

Section 106 of the General Provisions prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare sites, or install utilities for family housing except housing for which funds have been appropriated.

Section 107 of the General Provisions limits the use of minor construction funds to be transferred or relocated from one installation to another.

Section 108 of the General Provisions prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

Section 109 of the General Provisions limits appropriations from

being used to pay real property taxes in foreign nations.

Section 110 of the General Provisions prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

Section 111 of the General Provisions establishes a preference for American architectural and engineering services where the services are in Japan, NATO member countries, and the Arabian Gulf.

Section 112 of the General Provisions establishes a preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Gulf, except bids by Marshallese contractors for military construction on Kwajalein Atoll.

Section 113 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to give prior notice to Congress of military exercises where

construction costs exceed \$100,000.

Section 114 of the General Provisions limits obligations to no more than 20% during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 115 of the General Provisions permits DoD to make available funds appropriated in prior years for new projects authorized during the current session of Congress.

Section 116 of the General Provisions permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being

completed with lapsed funds.

Section 117 of the General Provisions permits obligation of funds from more than one fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

Section 118 of the General Provisions allows expired funds to be transferred to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction,

Defense" account.

Section 119 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to report annually on actions taken during the current fiscal year to encourage other member nations of the NATO, Japan, Korea, and United States allies in the Arabian Gulf to assume a greater share of defense costs.

Section 120 of the General Provisions authorizes the transfer of proceeds from "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part I" to

the continuing Base Realignment and Closure accounts.

Section 121 of the General Provisions prohibits the availability of

funds to any entity that violates the Buy American Act.

Section 122 of the General Provisions states the Sense of the Congress notifying recipients of equipment or products authorized to be purchased with financial assistance provided in this Act to purchase American-made equipment and products.

Section 123 of the General Provisions permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing, Construction accounts to the DOD

Family Housing Improvement Fund.

Section 124 of the General Provisions limits the obligation of

funds for Partnership for Peace Programs.

Section 125 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to notify congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreement which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions, and extended deployments.

Section 126 of the General Provisions provides transfer authority

to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

Section 127 of the General Provisions requires that appropriations from this Act be the sole source of all operation and maintenance for flag and general officer quarter houses and limits the repair on these quarters to \$25,000 per year.

Section 128 of the General Provisions requires the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force to submit a Family Housing Master Plan to the appropriate committees of Congress by July 1, 2002.

Section 129 of the General Provisions authorizes additional funds for a project at Masirah Island Airfield in Oman.

#### House of Representatives Report Requirements

The following items are included in accordance with various requirements of the rules of the House of Representatives.

# CHANGES IN APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill that directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities that require annual authorization or additional leg-

islation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of existing law.

Language is included that enables various appropriations to remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authority legislation does not presently authorize such extended availability.

Language is included under Military Construction, Defense-wide, which permits the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds to other

accounts for military construction or family housing.

Language is included under Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part IV, limiting the amount of funds that shall be available solely for environmental restoration.

Language is included under the General Provisions authorizing additional funds for a project at Masirah Island Airfield in Oman.

# DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99–177) as amended by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987 (Public Law 100–119), and by the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–508), the following information provides the definitions of the terms "program, project and activity" for appropriations contained in the Military Construction Appropriations Act. The term "program, project, and activity" shall include the most specific level of budget items, identified in the Military Construction Appropriations Act, 2002, the accompanying House and Senate reports, and the conference report of the joint explanatory statement of the managers of the committee of conference.

In carrying out any sequestrations, the Department of Defense (DoD) and related agencies shall carry forth the sequestration order in a manner that would not adversely affect or alter Congressional policies and priorities established for the DoD and the related agencies, and no program, project, and activity should be eliminated or reduced to a level of funding that would adversely affect DoD ability to effectively continue any program, project, and activity.

#### APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

[Dollars in thousands]

Agency/Program	Last year of author- ization	Authorization level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill
Military Construction, Army	2001	\$943,328	\$943,328	\$1,702,934
Military Construction, Navy	2001	929,411	929,411	1,134,660
Military Construction, Air Force	2001	885,333	885,333	1,185,220
Military Construction, Defense-wide	2001	798,463	798,463	852,808
Military Construction, Army National Guard	2001	285,587	285,587	313,348
Military Construction, Air National Guard	2001	210,081	210,081	198,803
Military Construction, Army Reserve	2001	108,499	108,499	167,769
Military Construction, Naval Reserve	2001	61,931	61,931	61,426
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	2001	36,510	36,510	81,882
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	2001	171,622	171,622	162,600
Family Housing, Construction, Army	2001	235,437	235,437	294,042
Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Army	2001	976,135	976,135	1,096,431
Family Housing, Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	2001	417,235	417,235	334,780
Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Navy and Marine				
Corps	2001	899,925	899,925	910,095
Family Housing, Construction, Air Force	2001	251,413	251,413	536,237
Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	2001	832,686	832,686	858,121
Family Housing, Defense-wide	2001	44,787	44,787	44,012
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	2001	0	0	2,000
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense	2001	0	0	10,119
Base Realignment and Closure	2001	1,031,115	1,031,115	552,713

#### TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, a statement is required describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill. Sections 115, 118, 120, 123, 126, and 129 of the General Provisions, and language included under "Military Construction, Defense-wide" provide certain transfer authority.

#### RESCISSION OF FUNDS

In compliance with clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee recommends rescissions of:

Military Construction, Army—\$36,400,000 Military Construction, Navy—\$19,588,000

Military Construction, Naval Reserve—\$925,000

Military Construction, Defense-Wide—\$10,250,000

# CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY

Clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives states that:

Each report of a committee on a bill or joint resolution of a public character shall include a statement citing the specific powers granted to the Congress in the Constitution to enact the law proposed by the bill or joint resolution.

The Committee on Appropriations bases its authority to report this legislation from Clause 7 of Section 9 of Article I of the Constitution of the United States of America which states:

No money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of Appropriations made by law  $^{*}$  \*  $^{*}$ 

Appropriations contained in this bill are made pursuant to this specific power granted by the Constitution.

# COMPARISONS WITH BUDGET RESOLUTION

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an explanation of compliance with section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, which requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year from the Committee's section of 302(a) allocation.

[In millions of dollars]

	302(b) a	llocation	This	bill
	Budget authority	Outlays	Budget authority	Outlays
Discretionary	\$10,500	\$9,203	\$10,500	\$9,202

#### FIVE-YEAR PROJECTION OF OUTLAYS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill:

(In thousands of dollars)

ш	i the	usa.	nus (	or do	nars	J		
02							 	

\$10,500,000

uays.	
2002	2,690,000
2003	4,042,000
2004	2,337,000
2005	785,000
2006 and beyond	598,000

The bill will not affect the levels of revenues, tax expenditures, direct loan obligations, or primary loan guarantee commitments under existing law.

# FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the financial assistance to State and local governments is as follows:

[In millions of dollars]	
New budget authority	0
Fiscal year 2000 outlays resulting therefrom	0

# STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

ing:
The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

# FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

There were no recorded votes.

Budget authority, fiscal year 20

#### STATE LIST

The following is a complete listing, by State and country, of the Committee's recommendations for military construction and family housing projects:

	-	
INSTALLATION & PROJECT		HOUSE
ALABAMA		
ARMY		
ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT COMPONENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,300	2,300
REBUILD SHOP AND FACILITY	2,850	2,850
FORT RUCKER	•	
AIRCRAFT PARTS WAREHOUSE	11,400	6,800 11,400
COMMANCHE SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	11,400	11,400
AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY		2,700
DINING FACILITY	7,200	7,200
AIR FORCE MAXWELL AFB		
SQUADRON OFFICER SCHOOL ACADEMIC FACILITY	9,000	9,000
OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL DORMITORY	11,800	11,800
SQUADRON OFFICER SCHOOL DORMITORYARMY NATIONAL GUARD	13,600	13,600
PUNTSVILLE		
UNIT TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE	7,498	7,498
MOBILE ADD/ALTER READINESS CENTER	5,333	5,333
AIR FORCE RESERVE	•	·
MAXWELL AFB FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE HANGAR	7 300	7,300
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	7,300 9,900	9,900
•		07 (94
TOTAL, ALABAMA	88,181	97,681
ALASKA		
ARMY FORT RICHARDSON		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (PHASE I)	45,000	45,000
FORT WAINWRIGHT	/ 200	/ 200
ASSEMBLY BUILDING	4,200 23,000	4,200 23,000
AIR FORCE	,	
EARECKSON AFB	4,600	4,600
UPGRADE WASTEWATER SYSTEM	4,600	4,000
ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE HANGAR.	12,200	12,200
DORMITORY DEFENSE-WIDE	20,000	20,000
EIELSON AFB		
REPLACE BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS	8,800	8,800
FORT WAINWRIGHT HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE III)	18,500	18,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	•	•
ELMENDORF AFB UPGRADE COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES	5,000	5,000
DI GRADE COMPANI COMMONTONI INTO I NOTETITEOTTITITITI		
TOTAL, ALASKA	141,300	141,300
ARIZONA		
ARMY		
FORT HUACHUCA EFFLUENT REUSE SYSTEM	6,100	6,100
YUMA PROVING GROUNDS	•	·
RANGE IMPROVEMENTS		3,100
YUMA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION		
	/ 750	6,750
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	6,750	
LAND ACQUISITION (PHASE II)	8,660	8,660
	8,660 7,160	
LAND ACQUISITION (PHASE II)STATION ORDNANCE AREA	8,660	8,660

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
DORMITORY REPLACE AIRCRAFT RECLAMATION/PARTS PROCESS COMPLEX LUKE AFB	8,700 8,600	8,700 8,600
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERARMY NATIONAL GUARD MARANA	w.**	4,500
AVIATION MAINTENANCE HANGARPAPAGO PARK MILITARY RESERVATION	14,358	14,358
ADD/ALTER READINESS CENTERARMY RESERVE MESA	***	1,104
RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP AIR FORCE RESERVE LUKE AFB	10,900	10,900
ADD/ALTER SQUADRON OPERATION FACILITY	***	1,400
TOTAL, ARIZONA	71,228	87,532
ARMY ARMY		
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE VI) AIR FORCE LITTLE ROCK AFB	26,000	48 W 48
C-130J FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY DEFENSE-WIDE	10,600	10,600
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE VI) ARMY RESERVE	***	26,000
CONMAY RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	***	
TOTAL, ARKANSAS		
CALIFORNIA		
ARMY FÖRT IRWIN		
DIRECT SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP		23,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX	***	5,900
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE AIRCRAFT HANGAR IMPROVEMENTS	4,470	4,470
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	21,200	21,200
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	21,600 11,980	21,600 11,980
HELO OUTLYING LANDING FIELD (PHASE II)	3,910	3,910
INDOOR PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	3,910 13,460	3,910 13,460
IRON/MANGANESE PLANTREGIMENTAL ARTILLERY MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	11,180 13,160	11,180 13,160
CHINA LAKE NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER PROPULSION AND EXPLOSIVES LABORATORY (PHASE I)	13,100	10,100
CORONADO NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE TRAINING FACILITY	8,610	8,610
EL CENTRO NAVAL AIR FACILITY TRANSIENT BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	23,520	23,520
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION  BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS  MIRAMAR MARINE CORPS AIR STATION	10,010	10,010
MISSILE MAGAZINES		3,680
PORT HUENEME NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SCHOOL. PORT IMPROVEMENTS	3,780	3,780
OR: DIFFEMALITY CONTRACTOR STREET STREET STREET STREET STREET	12,400	
SAN DIEGO NAVAL STATION BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.	12,400 47,240	12,400 47,240

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
CAN NITCHOLAG TOLAND		
SAN NICHOLAS ISLAND SUPPLY PIER	13,730	13,730
TWENTYNINE PALMS	0.040	9,860
ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION BUILDING	9,860 9,540	9,540
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	29,675	29,675
ENLISTED DINING FACILITYRESERVE SUPPORT FACILITIES	11,930 8,760	11,930 8,760
VEHICLE WASH STATION	5,360	5,360
AIR FORCE BEALE AFB		
COMMUNICATIONS OPERATIONS CENTER		7,900
EDWARDS AFB	/ 400	4 400
ADD/ALTER TERMINAL AREA CONTROL FACILITY BASE OPERATIONS FACILITY	4,600	4,600 5,000
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT FACILITY	11,700	
LOS ANGELES AFB		
CONSOLIDATED BASE SUPPORT COMPLEX	23,000	23,000
RADAR APPROACH CONTROL CENTER		3,300
REPLACE SUPPORT FACILITYVANDENBERG AFB	6,800	6,800
MISSILE TRANSPORT BRIDGE	11,800	11,800
DEFENSE-WIDE	·	•
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE FLEET HOSPITAL SUPPORT FACILITIES	3,150	3.150
REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINC (HORNO)	4,300	3,150 4,300
REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC (LAS FLORES) REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC (LAS PULGAS)	3,800 4,050	3,800 4,050
TRACY DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT	4,030	4,050
REPLACE GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE	30,000	30,000
CORONADO NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE SEAL TEAM FIVE OPERATIONS FACILITY	13,650	13,650
TWENTYNINE PALMS	•	•
HOSPITAL LDRP CONVERSION	1,600	1,600
FORT IRWIN		
MANEUVER AREA TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE (PHASE I)	21,953	21,953
READINESS CENTER	4,530	4,530
NAVY RESERVE		•
PORT HUENEME NAVAL RESERVE CENTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,000	1,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE	.,	.,
MARCH ARB FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION		7,200
·		
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	458,808	524,888
COLORADO		
ARMY FORT CARSON		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (NELSON BLVD) (PHASE I)	25.000	25,000
PUEBLO DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III) AIR FORCE	11,000	,
BUCKLEY AFB		
DORMITORYFITNESS CENTER	11,200 12,000	11,200 12,000
SCHRIEVER AFB	12,000	12,000
SBIRS MISSION CONTROL STATION BACKUP	19,000	19,000
SECURE AREA LOGISTICS FACILITY		11,400

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY ADD/ALTER ATHLETIC FACILITIES (PHASE II) INSTALL AIR CONDITIONING (ENLISTED DORMITORY) REPLACE CONTROL TOWER	1,300	1,300
UPGRADE POTABLE WATER SYSTEM (CADET AREA) DEFENSE-WIDE PUEBLO DEPOT	6,400	6,400
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III) SCHRIEVER AFB		11,000
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINICARMY RESERVE FORT CARSON	4,000	4,000
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER/NEW RESERVE CENTER		9,394
TOTAL, COLORADO		128,494
CONNECTICUT AIR NATIONAL GUARD ORANGE ANGS		
REPLACE AIR CONTROL SQUADRON COMPLEX	12,000	12,000
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ARMY FORT MCNAIR		
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	11,600	11,600
ANACOSTIA BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENTAIR FORCE BOLLING AFB	9,810	9,810
ADD/ALTER CHAPEL CENTER	2,900	2,900
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	24,310	24,310
FLORIDA NAVY		
KEY WEST NAVAL AIR STATION AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER/OPERATIONS BUILDING	11,400	11,400
MAYPORT NAVAL STATION BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	16,420	16,420
PENSACOLA NAVAL AIR STATION CONSOLIDATED FIRE STATION		3,700
WHITING FIELD NAVAL AIR STATION AIRFIELD APPROACH LIGHTING	2,140	2,140
AIR FORCE CAPE CANAVERAL AIR STATION		
REPLACE FIRECRASH RESCUE STATION	7,800	7,800
COMMAND AND CONTROL TEST OPERATIONS CENTER HURLBURT FIELD	11,400	11,400
CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATION FACILITY DINING FACILITY/FITNESS CENTER	4,000 6,400	4,000 6,400
MISSION PLANNING CENTER (PHASE I)TYNDALL AFB	10,000	
ADD/ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	3,050 12,000	5,300 3,050 12,000
HURLBURT FIELD ADD/ALTER MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC. CV-22 TRAINING DEVICE SUPPORT FACILITYREADINESS SUPPLY PACKAGE FACILITY	8,800 10,200 3,200	8,800 10,200 3,200

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MACDILL AFB PUBLIC ACCESS BUILDINGRENOVATE COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	2,500 9,500	2,500 9,500
MAYPORT NAVAL STATION REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINICAIR NATIONAL GUARD		
CAMP BLANDING REPLACE WEATHER TRAINING COMPLEXARMY RESERVE	6,900	6,900
ST PETERSBERG ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (PHASE II)		34,056
JACKSONVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION		
HANGAR RENOVATIONSMARINE CORPS RESERVE TRAINING CENTER		8 A5N
READINESS SUPPORT SITE FACILITIES	2,500	2,500
ADD/ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY		2,000
TOTAL, FLORIDA	155,954	199,660
GEORGIA		
ARMY FORT BENNING		
PASSENGER PROCESSING FACILITYRUNWAY EXTENSIONFORT GILLEM	17,000 6,900	17,000 6,900
CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION FORENSIC LABORATORY EXPLOSIVE ORDANCE DETACHMENT OPERATIONS BUILDING FORT GORDON	29,000 5,600	29,000 5,600
INFORMATION SYSTEMS FACILITY	11,000 23,000	11,000 23,000
FORT STEWART EDUCATION CENTER	16,000	16,000
SOLDIER SERVICE CENTER	10,200	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITYAIR FORCE	13,600	13,600
MOODY AFB C-130 MAINTENANCE HANGAR		4,900
ROBINS AFB		·
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY LARGE ITEM AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PAINT FAC REPLACE KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS	3,800 3,050 7,800	3,050
DEFENSE-WIDE ALBANY MARINE CORPS LOGISTICS BASE		
REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC	5,800	5,800
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT COMPLEX	5,100	5,100
CONSOLIDATED TROOP MEDICAL CLINICAIR NATIONAL GUARD	11,000	11,000
ROBINS AFB REPLACE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEXAIR FORCE RESERVE	6,100	6,100
ROBINS AFB AIR FORCE RESERVE HEADQUARTERS (PHASE II)	2,000	2,000
TOTAL, GEORGIA		
HAWAII	•	•
ARMY		
PEARL HARBOR SHIPPING OPERATIONS BUILDING POHAKULOA TRAINING AREA	11,800	11,800

INSTALLATION & PROJECT		HOUSE RECOMMENDED
COMMAND AND RANGE CONTROL BUILDING	5,100	5,100
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS BARRACKS COMPLEX (WILSON STREET) (PHASE I-C) WHEELER ARMY AIRFIELD	23,000	23,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX (AVIATION) (PHASE VI-A)	50,000	50,000
CAMP SMITH CINCPAC HEADQUARTERS (PHASE III) KANEOHE BAY MARINE CORPS BASE	37,580	37,580
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERSLUALUALEI NAVAL MAGAZINE	24,920	24,920
SHORE POWER AT WHARVESPEARL HARBOR NAVAL COMPLEX	6,000	6,000
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	17,300 23,300	17,300
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS DRYDOCK SUPPORT FACILITY	7.900	23,300 7,900
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	12,100	12,100
SEWER FORCE MAIN	12,100 16,900	16,900
DEFENSE-WIDE HICKAM AFB		
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	29,200	29,200
TOTAL, HAWAII	265,100	265,100
IDAHO		
AIR FORCE		
MCUNTAIN HOME AFB REPLACE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRONARMY NATIONAL GUARD	14,600	14,600
GOWEN FIELD READINESS CENTER	8,117	8,117
TOTAL, IDAHO	22,717	22,717
ILLINOIS NAVY		
GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER		
RECRUIT BARRACKS	41,130 41,130	41,130
RECRUIT BARRACKSNAVY RESERVE GREAT LAKES	41,130	41,130
RESERVE CENTER RENOVATION	4,426	4,426
TOTAL, ILLINCIS	86,686	86,686
INDIANA		
NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)	66,000	
NAVY CRANE NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER		
MICROWAVE DEVICES ENGINEERING FACILITY SPECIAL WARFARE MUNITIONS ENGINEERING FACILITY	5,820	9,110 5,820
DEFENSE-WIDE  NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT  AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)		66,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD FORT WAYNE IAP		
UPGRADE AIRCRAFT PARKING RAMP AND TAXIWAYAIR FORCE RESERVE GRISSOM ARB		8,500
SERVICES COMPLEX (PHASE III)	13,200	13,200
TOTAL, INDIANA	85,020	102,630

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
•		
IOWA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
ESTHERVILLE READINESS CENTER	2 747	2 747
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	2,713	2,713
SIOUX GATEWAY AIRPORT	47 700	47.400
KC-135 PARKING APRON/HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM KC-135 FUEL CELL/CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	14,400 8,300	14,400 8,300
KC-135 EXTEND AND UPGRADE TAXIWAY	4,300	4,300
TOTAL, IOWA		
KANSAS		
ARMY		
FORT RILEY CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	6,800	6,800
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	4,100	4,100
MCCONNELL AFB		
HEALTH AND WELLNESS CENTERARMY NATIONAL GUARD		5,100
FORT RILEY		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	645	645
TOTAL, KANSAS	11,545	16,645
KENTUCKY		
ARMY BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT		
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II) FORT CAMPBELL	3,000	
BARRACKS COMPLEX (MARKET GARDEN ROAD) (PHASE III).	47,000	47,000
DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX/AIR	3,300	3,300
DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX/RAIL	3,300 3,300	3,300 3,300
ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION EXPAND KEYHOLE HARDSTAND AREA	10,000	10,000
PASSENGER PROCESSING FACILITY.	10,600 11,400	10,600 11,400
DEFENSE-WIDE BLUEGRASS ARMY DEPOT	,	7.7,400
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II)		3,000
ARMY RESERVE FORT KNOX		•
RESERVE CENTER	14,846	14,846
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	106,746	
LOUISIANA	,	
ARMY		
FORT POLK EDUCATION CENTER	10,800	10,800
READINESS AND OPERATIONS FACILITY	10,400	10,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD CAMP BEAUREGARD		
READINESS CENTER	5,392	5,392
READINESS CENTER	5,677	5,677
NAVY RESERVE LAFAYETTE		
MARINE RESERVE TRAINING CENTER	5,200	5,200
NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION EQUIPMENT SHOP AND HOLDING SHELTER	2,270	2,270
JOINT RESERVE CENTER (PHASE II)	·	10,000

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
REFUELER MAINTENANCE FACILITY	650 1,300	1,300
TOTAL, LOUISIANA		
MAINE		
NAVY BRUNSWICK NAVAL AIR STATION AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR. BACHELOR ENLSITED QUARTERS. P-3 SUPPORT FACILITY. ARMY NATIONAL GUARD BANGOR	3,100	22,630 3,100
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY (PHASE I)	11,618	11,618
TOTAL, MAINE	79,013	79,013
MARYLAND ARMY		
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND  AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)  AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY	66,500 5,300 9,000	5,300
CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	44,000	44,000
FORT MEADE CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	5,800	5,800
NAVY INDIAN HEAD NAVAL EXPLOSIVE ORDANCE CENTER JOINT EOD EQUIPMENT MAGNETIC EVALUATION FACILITY PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER	1.250	1.250
ADVANCED SYSTEMS INTEGRATION FACILITY (PHASE VI) RANGES OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY		10,770 2,260
ST INIGOES NAVALEX COMMUNICATIONS INTEGRATION FACILITY		5,100
CONSOLIDATE SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	10,070	10,070
REPAIR EAST RUNWAY.  UPGRADE FIRE TRAINING FACILITY.  DEFENSE-WIDE	7,600 1,750	7,600 1,750
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND  AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV)  OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	3,200	66,500 3,200
ADD/ALTER MEDICAL CLINIC BRANCH MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC RELOCATIONARMY NATIONAL GUARD SALISBURY	7,300 2,950	7,300 2,950
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,314	2,314
TOTAL, MARYLAND		
MASSACHUSETTS AIR FORCE		
HANSCOM AFB	9,400	9,400
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOPAIR NATIONAL GUARD BARNES ANGB	8,347	8,347
UPGRADE SUPPORT FACILITIES		5,200
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	17,747	22,947

INSTALLATION & PROJECT		HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MICHIGAN		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
GRAYLING ARMY AIRFIELD TRAINING SITE, HEADQUARTERS BUILDINGLANSING		5,680
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP (PHASE II) AIR NATIONAL GUARD	5,809	5,809
SELFRIDGE ANGB RUNWAY CLEAR ZONE LAND ACQUISITION NAVY RESERVE	2,000	2,000
SELFRIDGE ANGB VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,490	1,490
TOTAL, MICHIGAN		14,979
MINNESOTA		
NAVY RESERVE		
DULUTH RESERVE CENTER ADDITION	2,980	2,980
MINNEAPOLIS-ST PAUL ARS		47 744
CONSOLIDATED LODGING FACILITY (PHASE III)		13,300
TOTAL, MINNESOTA		
MISSISSIPPI		
NAVY		
GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	14.300	14,300
MOBILIZATION OPERATIONS FACILITY	14,300 7,360	7,360
MERIDIAN NAVAL AIR STATION T-45 MAINTENANCE FACILITY		3,400
AIR FORCE KEESLER AFB		•
REPLACE TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY (PHASE II-A) ARMY NATIONAL GUARD BATESVILLE	28,600	28,600
READINESS CENTER		3,055
CAMP SHELBY MILITARY EDUCATION CENTER (PHASE II)	11,444	11,444
GULFPORT READINESS CENTER	9,145	9,145
AIR NATIONAL GUARD JACKSON IAP	·	•
C-17 FACILITY CONVERSION	16,500	16,500 4,100
UPGRADE CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	5,700	5,700
ARMY RESERVE GULFPORT CBC		
CONTROLLED HUMIDITY STORAGE WAREHOUSE (PHASE I) AIR FORCE RESERVE		12,184
KEESLER AFB C-130J MAINTENANCE HANGAR	12,000	12,000
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI		
MISSOURI		
ARMY		
FORT LEONARD WOOD BASIC COMBAT TRAINING COMPLEX (PHASE II)	27.000	27,000
NIGHT FIRE RANGE	27,000 4,300	4,300
RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,550	3,550
KANSAS CITY MARINE CORPS SUPPORT ACTIVITY BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	9,010	9,010
TOTAL, MISSOURI	43,860	43,860

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MONTANA		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD KALISPELL		
	822	822
NEVADA	OLL	OLL
AIR FORCE		
NELLIS AFB		
DYNAMIC BATTLE CONTROL CENTER	12,600	12,600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
RENO-TAHOE IAP	0.500	9 500
REPLACE BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE COMPLEX	8,500	8,500
TOTAL, NEVADA	21,100	21,100
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
CONCORD		
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	27,185	27,185
READINESS CENTER	1,868	1,868
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
PEASE AFB	2,200	2,200
KC-135R SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	2,200	2,200
ARMY RESERVE ROCHESTER		
RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	9.122	9,122
TOTAL, NEW HAMPSHIRE	40,375	40,375
NEIL JEDOEV		
NEW JERSEY ARMY		
FORT MONMOUTH		
BARRACKS	20,000	20,000
PICATINNY ARSENAL		•
HIGH ENERGY PROPELLANT FORMULATION FACILITY		10,200
AIR FORCE		
MCGUIRE AFB		13 400
AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL/BASE SUPPLY CMPLX (PHASE II).		12,600
C-17 ADD/ALTER FUEL CELL	1,050 1,400	1,050 1,400
C-17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	4,900	4,900
C-17 MAINTENANCE HANGAR	27,700	27.700
C-17 THREE BAY HANGAR	1,500	1,500
DEFENSE-WIDE	•	•
MCGUIRE AFB		
BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK	4,400	4,400
AIR NATIONAL GUARD		
ATLANTIC CITY IAP COMMUNICATIONS/SECURITY FORCES COMPLEX	. 6 300	6,300
MCGUIRE AFB	0,500	0,500
REPLACE JOINT MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	4,900	4,900
ARMY RESERVE	•	•
FORT DIX		
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION		12,000
	0/ 450	
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	84,150	106,950
NEW MEXICO		
ARMY		
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE		
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT CENTER		7,600
AIR FORCE CANNON AFB		
REPLACE FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION	9,400	9,400
,, NEODOL OTHITOM:	,,400	7,700

41

INSTALLATION & PROJECT		HOUSE RECOMMENDED
KIRTLAND AFB TELESCOPE/ATMOSPHERE COMPENSATION LABORATORY UPGRADE SMALL ARMS RANGE SUPPORT FACILITY DEFENSE-WIDE HOLLOMAN AFB	15,500	15,500 4,300
MEDICAL CLINIC ALTERATION	5,700	5,700
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	30,600	42,500
NEW YORK		
ARMY FORT DRUM		
BATTLE SIMULATION CENTER (PHASE II)	4,700	31,000
CADET PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT CENTER (PHASE II) ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	37,900	37,900
FORT DRUM MANEUVER AREA TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE (PHASE I) AIR NATIONAL GUARD	17,000	17,000
FRANCIS S. GABRESKI AIRPORT COMPOSITE SUPPORT COMPLEX	19,000	19,000
HANCOCK FIELD CIVIL ENGINEERING FACILITY COMPOSITE READINESS SUPPORT FACILITY		1,500 2,500
TOTAL, NEW YORK	120,750	143,250
NORTH CAROLINA		
ARMY		
FORT BRAGG BARRACKS COMPLEX (BUTNER ROAD) (PHASE II) BARRACKS COMPLEX (LONGSTREET ROAD) (PHASE II) BARRACKS COMPLEX (TAGAYTAY ROAD) (PHASE II-C) PARACHUTE TEAM GENERAL PURPOSE BUILDING	49,000 27,000 17,500 7,700	7,700
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITYSUNNY POINT MILITARY OCEAN TERMINAL	13,600	13,600
DEPLOYMENT STAGING AREA.  FIRE STATION.  OPEN STORAGE AREA.  ROAD IMPROVEMENTS AND TRUCK PAD.  NAVY	2,000 2,750 2,050 4,600	2,000 2,750 2,050 4,600
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE ACADEMIC BUILDING. AMMUNITION STORAGE MAGAZINE UPGRADE (PHASE I) BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS ENGINEERING EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SHOP LANDFILL CELL NEW RIVER MARINE CORPS AIR STATION	15,860 5,880 16,530 13,550 6,960 8,290	6,960
PROPERTY CONTROL FACILITY	1,560 2,490	1,560 2,490
POPE AFB CONSOLIDATE C-130 CORROSION CONTROL FACILTY DEFENSE-WIDE	17,800	17,800
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION AND RENOVATION FORT BRAGG	8,857	8,857
IMAGERY AND ANALYSIS FACILITY	3,150 2,100	3,150
LANGUAGE SUSTAINMENT TRAINING FACILITY REPAIR TRAINING FACILITY TEAM OPERATIONS/INFORMATION AUTOMATION FACILITY	1,812 5,800	2,100 1,812 5,800

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
TRAINING FACILITY TRAINING RANGE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX. WEATHER OPERATIONS FACILITY. BATTALION OPERATIONS/VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY. POPE AFB	5,000 2,600 3,600 1,000 8,500	5,000 2,600 3,600 1,000 8,500
BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKARMY NATIONAL GUARD FORT BRAGG	3,400	3,400
MILITARY EDUCATION FACILITY (PHASE II)		8,290
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	260,939	269,229
NORTH DAKOTA AIR FORCE GRAND FORKS AFB		
KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT DEFENSE-WIDE GRAND FORKS AFB	7,800	7,800
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	9,110	9,110
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	14,000	14,000
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA	30,910	
OHIO		
AIR FORCE WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB		
ADD/ALTER SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE FACILITY CONSOLIDATE ACQ MANAGEMENT COMPLEX (PHASE IV-B) ARMY NATIONAL GUARD BOWLING GREEN	3,450 21,400	3,450 21,400
READINESS CENTER		3,200
CINCINNATI READINESS CENTER	9,780	9,780
COSHOCTON READINESS CENTERAIR NATIONAL GUARD		2,632
MANSFIELD-LAHM AIRPORT REPLACE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX		3,500
SPRINGFIELD-BECKLEY MUNICIPAL AIRPORT AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON/TAXIWAYARMY RESERVE		10,600
CLEVELAND LAND ACQUISITION	1,200	1,200
TOTAL, OHIO		
OKLAHOMA		
ARMY FORT SILL		
DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEXAIR FORCE ALTUS AFB	5,100	5,100
REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS (PHASE I)	20,200	20,200
CONSOLIDATE INTEGRATION SUPPORT FACILITY	40.000	7,500
DORMITORYARMY NATIONAL GUARD OKLAHOMA CITY	10,200	10,200
READINESS CENTER		9,320
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	35,500	52,320

43

INSTALLATION & PROJECT		HOUSE RECOMMENDED
PENNSYLVANIA		
NAVY PHILADELPHIA NAVAL FOUNDRY AND PROPELLER CENTER MACHINE SHOP MODERNIZATION DEFENSE-WIDE		14,800
NEW CUMBERLAND DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT SPECIAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE	19,900	19,900
PHILADELPHIA DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER CONSOLIDATE INDOOR FITNESS FACILITIES	2,429	•
AIR NATIONAL GUARD PITTSBURGH IAP REPLACE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	3,200	3,200
ARMY RESERVE JOHNSTOWN TRANSIENT QUARTERS	~ = =	3,000
WILLOW GROVE HANGAR FIRE PROTECTION UPGRADES	3,715	3,715
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA		
RHODE ISLAND		
NAVY NEWPORT NAVAL STATION SPECIAL WARFARE OFFICERS INSTRUCTION BUILDING AIR NATIONAL GUARD	15,290	15,290
QUONSET STATE AIRPORT C-130J REPLACE COMPOSITE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	9,600	9,600
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND		
SOUTH CAROLINA		
FORT JACKSON BASIC COMBAT TRAINEE COMPLEX (PHASE I) CENTRAL ENERGY PLANT	26,000	26,000 3,650
BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION AIRBORNE WEAPONS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT WAREHOUSE CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,960 6,060	1,960 6,060
BEAUFORT NAVAL HOSPITAL BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.		7,330
PARRIS ISLAND MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT MILITARY POLICE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES FACILITY AIR FORCE	5,430	5,430
SHAW AFB EDUCATION CENTER DEFENSE-WIDE		5,800
BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION REPLACE LAUREL BAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL		
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA		69,080
SOUTH DAKOTA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD MITCHELL		
COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP	14,228	14,228
TENNESSEE NAVY		
MILLINGTON NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY ELEVATED WATER TANK	3,900	3,900
ARNOLD AFB CONVERT TO HYPERSONIC PLANT UPGRADE JET ENGINE AIR INDUCTION SYSTEM (PHASE IV) ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	10,400 14,000	10,400 14,000

44

INSTALLATION & PROJECT		HOUSE RECOMMENDED
T ALCOA		
ALCOA READINESS CENTER HENDERSON	8,203	8,203
OPERATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOPAIR NATIONAL GUARD	2,012	2,012
NASHVILLE IAP REPLACE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE I)		11,000
TOTAL, TENNESSEE		49,515
TEXAS		
ARMY CORPUS CHRISTI ARMY DEPOT		
ENERGY DISASSEMBLY AND CLEANING FACILITYFORT BLISS		10,400
REPLACE ELEVATED WATER TANKS		5,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX	41,000	41,000
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY (PHASE II)	10,000	10,000
GRAY ARMY AIRFIELD DEPLOYMENT UPGRADE (PHASE II) MULTI-PURPOSE DIGITAL TRAINING RANGE (PHASE II)	13,000	18,000 13,000
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	12,200	12,200
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	12,200 23,000	23,000
FORT SAM HOUSTON		
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDINGPHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	2,250	2,250
AIR FORCE		7,400
LACKLAND AFB		
JOINT ADVANCED LANGUAGE TRAINING CENTER	4,200	4.200
DORMITORY	8,600	8,600
LAUGHLIN AFB		
ADD/ALTER FITNESS CENTER	12,000	12,000
SECURITY FORCES COMPLEXSHEPPARD AFB		3,600
FITNESS CENTER/HEALTH AND WELLNESS CENTER		8,200
REPLACE STUDENT DORMITORY/DINING FACILITY	16,000	16,000
STUDENT DORMITORY/DINING FACILITY	21,000	21,000
DEFENSE-WIDE		,
DYESS AFB		
MEDICAL TREATMENT FACILITY ALTERATION	3,300	3,300
FORT HOOD		
ADD/ALTER HOSPITAL	12,200	12,200
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		
AUSTIN ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	25 /50	25 (50
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	25,659	25,659
CAMP MABRY		
REPLACE WEATHER FLIGHT COMPLEX	900	900
ARMY RESERVE		
RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT		
RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,862	1,862
NAVY RESERVE FORT WORTH JRB		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION		9.060
		9,060
TOTAL, TEXAS	207,171	268,831
	,	200,000
UTAH		
AIR FORCE		
HILL AFB	41	
CONSOLIDATE HYDRAULIC/PNEUDRAULIC REPAIR FACILITY. DEPOT MAINTENANCE HANGAR (PHASE I)	14,000	14,000
DELOT PATRICIANNE HANGAR (FRASE 1)		18,000
TOTAL, UTAH	14,000	
	14,000	32,000

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
VIRGINIA		
ARMY		
FORT BELVOIR	/ 050	/ 050
CHAPEL	4,950	4,950
OPERATIONS BUILDING	31,000	31,000
FORT EUSTIS FIELD OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,750	1,750
MAIN PIER	23,000	23,000
FORT LEE	25,000	25,000
AIRBORNE TRAINING FACILITY	17,500	17,500
MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION	6,400	6,400
NAVY		
LITTLE CREEK NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE		
PERSONNEL SUPPORT FACILITY		9,090
NORFOLK NAVAL STATION AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR REPLACEMENT	11 700	11,300
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR REPLACEMENT	11,300 14,100	14,100
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT UPGRADE	6,360	6,360
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	14,730	14,730
DEPERMING PIER REPLACEMENT	2,810	2,810
PIER REPLACEMENT (PHASE I)	28,210	28,210
WATERFRONT ELECTRICAL UPGRADE	15,620	15,620
WATERFRONT ELECTRICAL UPGRADE	12,900	12,900
QUANTICO MARINE CORPS COMBAT DEVELOPMENT COMMAND		
AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE STATION	3,790	3,790
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	9,390	9,390
LANGLEY AFB		
DORMITORY	8,300	8,300
F-22 LOW OBSERVABLE/COMPOSITE REPAIR FACILITY	16.000	16,000
F-22 OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY	19,000	19,000
F-22 UPGRADE FLIGHTLINE INFRASTRUCTURE	4,000	4,000
DEFENSE-WIDE	•	•
FORT BELVOIR		
ADDITIONAL CHILLER UNIT	900	900
NORFOLK ADD/ALTER BRANCH MEDICAL CLINIC	21 000	24 000
PENTAGON	21,000	21,000
PHYSICAL FITNESS AND READINESS FACILITY	25,000	25.000
NAVY RESERVE	,	25,000
WILLIAMSBURG		
HEADQUARTERS BUILDING	2,130	2,130
TOTAL MIDOLINA	700 410	
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	300,140	309,230
WASHINGTON		
ARMY		
FORT LEWIS		
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT EXPANSION	17,000	17,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX (17TH AND B STREET) (PHASE I)	48,000	48,000
COMBAT VEHICLE TRAIL	7,300 15,500	7,300 15,500
DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX/RAIL	15,500	15,500
PALLET HANDING FACILITY	16,500 13,200	16,500 13,200
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,100	9,100
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,600	9,600
NAVY	•	•
BANGOR STRATEGIC WEAPONS FACILITY		
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	3,900	3,900
BREMERTON NAVAL STATION	2/ //2	2/ //2
REPLACE PIER DELTA (PHASE II)	24,460	24,460
SHORE INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	6,820	6,820
	5,020	3,020

46

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
PUGET SOUND NAVAL SHIPYARD INDUSTRIAL SKILLS CENTER (PHASE II)		14,000
P-3 SUPPORT FACILITY	3,470	3,470
AIR FORCE FAIRCHILD AFB		
REPLACE MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE ADMIN FACILITY MCCHORD AFB	2,800	2,800
ADD/ALTER MISSION SUPPORT CENTER (PHASE I) C-17 EXTEND NOSE DOCKS	15,800 4,900	15,800 4,900
DEFENSE-WIDE	.,,	.,
FORT LEWIS LANGUAGE SUSTAINMENT TRAINING FACILITY	1,100	1,100
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT COMPLEX	5,800	
WHIDBEY ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION AIRCREW WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING FACILITY	6,600	6,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	5,555	2,222
RICHLAND CHEMICAL DEFENSE TRAINING FACILITY		2,800
ARMY RESERVE		-,
FORT LEWIS RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	21.978	21.978
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	233,020	250,626
WISCONSIN		
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD OSHKOSH		
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	5,274	5,274
WYOMING		
AIR FORCE F. E. WARREN AFB		
	10,200	10,200
DEFENSE-WIDE F. E. WARREN AFB		
MEDICAL CLINIC ALTERATION	2,700	2,700
NAVY RESERVE CHEYENNE		
RESERVE CENTER ADDITION	1,060	1,060
TOTAL, WYOMING	13,960	13,960
EL SALVADOR	·	·
DEFENSE-WIDE		
COMALAPA AB FORWARD OPERATING LOCATION	12,577	12,577
	12,571	12,511
GERMANY ARMY		
BAMBERG		
BARRACKS COMPLEX (WARNER'S 3)	20,000	20,000 6,500
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	16,000	16,000
BAUMHOLDER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,000	9,000
DARMSTADT	•	-
BARRACKS COMPLEX (CAMBRAI FRITSCH 4028) BARRACKS COMPLEX (KELLEY 4163)	6,700 6,800	6,700 6,800
HANAU BARRACKS COMPLEX (PIONEER 8)	•	•
HEIDELBERG	7,200	7,200
BARRACKS COMPLEX (PATTON 114)BARRACKS COMPLEX (TOMPKINS 4253)	6,800 8,500	6,800 8,500
Diminional dom LEA (1000 RENO TESO) I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	0,500	0,500

47

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MANNHEIM VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY WEISBADEN	16,000	16,000
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERPHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	6,800 19,500	6,800 19,500
AIR FORCE RAMSTEIN AB		
COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON COMPLEX (PHASE I)	15,000 11,000	15,000 11,000
FREIGHT TERMINAL & DEFENSE COURIER SERVICE	9 400	9,400
STRATEGIC LIFT AREA EXPANSIONUPGRADE UTILITY INFRASTRUCTURESPANGDAHLEM AB	4,600 2,900	4,600 2,900
NORTHWEST INFRASTRUCTURE EXPANSION	6,200	6,200
REFUELER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY DEFENSE-WIDE GEILENKIRCHEN	2,500	2,500
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MULTI PURPOSE ROOM	1,733	1,733
HOSPITAL ADDITION/CLINIC ALTERATION ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION/RENOVATION KAISERLAUTERN	28,000 3,312	28,000 3,312
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,439	1,439
KITZINGEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITIONLANDSTUHL	1,394	1,394
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL/MIDDLE SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION RAMSTEIN	1,444	1,444
HIGH SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	2,814	2,814
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION/RENOVATION WEISBADEN	1,558	1,558
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	1,378	1,378
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM/GYMNASIUM ADDITION	2,684	2,684
TOTAL, GERMANY	220,656	227,156
GREECE NAVY		
LARISSA NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY		
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERSSOUDA BAY NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY	12,240	12,240
SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT ADDITION	3,210	
TOTAL, GREECE	15,450	15,450
GREENLAND		
AIR FORCE THULE AB		
REPLACE TAXIWAYS/APRONS DEFENSE-WIDE	19,000	19,000
THULE AB COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY REPLACEMENT	10,800	10,800
TOTAL, GREENLAND	29,800	
GUAM		
NAVY		
GUAM NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	9,300	9,300
WATERFRONT UTILITIES UPGRADEAIR FORCE ANDERSEN AFB	14,800	14,800
WAR RESERVE MATERIAL STORAGE FACILITY REPLACE SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY	4,550 5,600	4,550 5,600
THE CLOSE OF SECTION OF ENGLISHING PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O	2,000	2,000

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
DEFENSE-WIDE		
ANDERSEN AFB REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEMARMY NATIONAL GUARD	20,000	20,000
BARRIGADA READINESS CENTER (PHASE II)		7,748
ANDERSON AFB OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	4,300	4,300
TOTAL, GUAM	58,550	66,298
ICELAND		
NAVY KEFLAVIK NAVAL AIR STATION SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL CONNECTION CHARGE	2,820	2,820
NAVY		
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION P-3 SUPPORT FACILITY	3,060	3,060
AVIANO AB DORMITORY INDOOR FIRING RANGE DEFENSE-WIDE	8,200 3,600	8,200 3,600
AVIANO AB ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION	3,647	3,647
TOTAL, ITALY	18,507	18,507
JAPAN		
ARMY CAMP SCHWAB SPECIAL FORCES TRAINING RANGE DEFENSE-WIDE YOKOTA AB	***	3,800
BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK	13,000	13,000
TOTAL, JAPAN		16,800
KOREA		
CAMP CARROLL  ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM.  PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	8,000 8,593	
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	8,500	8,500
BARRACKS COMPLEX	33,000 2,750	33,000 2,750
BARRACKS COMPLEXCAMP JACKSON	14,500	14,500
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	6,100	6,100
BARRACKS COMPLEXYONGSAN	28,000	28,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX		12,800
KUNSAN AB ADD/ALTER FITNESS CENTER	12,000	12,000
OSAN AB DORMITORY	14,400	14,400
DORMITORYOFFICER DORMITORY	15,800 9,700	15,800 9,700

49

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
REPLACE BASE CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX.  REPLACE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT FACILITY.  REPLACE VEHICLE OPERATIONS/ADMINISTRATION FACILITY  VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.  DEFENSE-WIDE  CAMP CASEY  REPLACE FUEL STORAGE FACILITY.	17,317 5,500	5,925 2,000 17,317 5,500
TOTAL, KOREA		240,885
KWAJALEIN		
ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL COLD STORAGE WAREHOUSE	11,000	11,000
OMAN .		
AIR FORCE MASIRAH ISLAND AIRFIELD REPAIRS (PHASE II)		8,000
PORTUGAL DEFENSE-WIDE LAJES FIELD		
DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	3,750	3,750
AMERICAN SAMOA ARMY RESERVE AMERICAN SAMOA RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	19,703	19,703
SPAIN		
NAVY ROTA NAVAL STATION AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE ADDITION DEFENSE-WIDE	2,240	2,240
ROTA NAVAL STATION MARINE LOADING ARMS		3,000
TOTAL, SPAIN	5,240	5,240
TURKEY AIR FORCE		
ESKISEHIR DORMITORY/MISSION SUPPORT FACILITYINCIRLIK AB	•	•
BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE		
TOTAL, TURKEY	4,000	9,500
UNITED KINGDOM AIR FORCE		
RAF MILDENHALL AVIONICS MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE II) FITNESS CENTER	10,800 11,600 11,300	11,600
RAF FELTWELL CONSTRUCT NEW MIDDLE SCHOOL	22,132	22,132
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	55,832	

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
WAKE ISLAND		
WAKE ISLAND REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENT (PHASE I)	25,000	25,000
NATO		
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	162,600	162,600
WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED		
ARMY CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS CLASSIFIED PROJECT	4,000	4,000
CLASSIFIED LOCATION TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY DEFENSE-WIDE	4,458	4,458
CLASSIFIED LOCATION AVIATION AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,400	2,400
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED		10,858
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED		
ARMY UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS HOST NATION SUPPORT. PLANNING AND DESIGN. UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION. RESCISSION.	23,100 134,098 18,000	140,041 18.000
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS PLANNING AND DESIGN. UPSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION. RESCISSION. AIR FORCE	29,932 10,546	30,972 12,036 -19,588
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS PLANNING AND DESIGN. UPSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION. GENERAL REDUCTION. DEFENSE-WIDE	79,130 11,250	83,000 11,250 -4,000
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION. RESCISSION. GENERAL REDUCTION.	35,600 10,000	35,600 10,000 -10,250 -4,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND. CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM. DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION. BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION. DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY. DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY. UNDISTRIBUTED.	26,300 6,861 700 2,400 1,929 6,290 6,516 3,500 20,000	700 2,400 1,929 6,290 6,516 3,500 20,000
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN		74,496
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY. SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND. DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION	5,526 1,903 1,500 4,249	5,526 1,903 1,500 4,249

51

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF. UNDISTRIBUTED	6,305	2,009 6,305 3,000
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION		24,492
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS		
PLANNING AND DESIGN UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION AIR NATIONAL GUARD UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS	25,794 4,671	27,924 4,671
PLANNING AND DESIGN	3,972	6,803
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTIONARMY RESERVE UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS	5,000	
PLANNING AND DESIGN	8,024	9,024
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,375	2,875
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS PLANNING AND DESIGN	1,176	2,176
RESCISSION	1,110	-925
AIR FORCE RESERVE		
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS PLANNING AND DESIGN	4,336	8,586
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,996	4,996
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	510,988	459,879
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY ALASKA		
FORT WAINWRIGHT (32 UNITS)	12,000	12,000
FORT HUACHUCA (72 UNITS)	10,800	10,800
FORT STEWART (160 UNITS)	-~-	2,500
FORT LEAVENWORTH (40 UNITS)	10,000	10,000
FORT BLISS (76 UNITS)	13,600	13,600
CAMP HUMPHREYS (54 UNITS)	12,800	12,800
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	220,750	220,750
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,592	11,592
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	291,542	294,042
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		
FURNISHING ACCOUNT		45,546
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	82,177	82,177
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,277	1,277
SERVICES ACCOUNT	49,520	49,520
UTILITIES ACCOUNTLEASING	258,790 106,056	247,790 196,056
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	196,956 446,806	196,956 445,246
INTEREST PAYMENT	1	1
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COST	27,918	27,918
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,108,991	1,096,431
TOLAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,400,533	1,390,473

52

INSTALLATION & PROJECT		HOUSE RECOMMENDED
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS		
YUMA (51 UNITS)	9,017	9,017
CALIFORNIA TWENTYNINE PALMS (74 UNITS)	16,250	16,250
HAWAII  KANEOHE BAY (172 UNITS)	46,996 16,827	46,996 16,827
MISSISSIPPI PASCAGOULA (160 UNITS)	23,354	23,354
VIRGINIA QUANTICO (60 UNITS)		10,000
ITALY SIGONELLA (10 UNITS)	2,403	2,403
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	183,054	203,434
PLANNING AND DESIGN	6,499	6,499
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	304,400	334,780
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT. MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT. MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT. SERVICES ACCOUNT. UTILITIES ACCOUNT. LEASING ACCOUNT. MAINTENANCE ACCOUNT. SVCM'S MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM ACCOUNT. HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COST.	32,701 85,535 1,200 65,787 195,172 123,965 409,567 68 4,100	32,701 85,535 1,200 65,787 187,172 123,965 409,567 68 4,100
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	918,095	
·		
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	1,222,495	1,244,875
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE ARIZONA		
LUKE AFB (120 UNITS)	15,712	15,712
TRAVIS AFB (118 UNITS)	18,150	18,150
BUCKLEY AFB (55 UNITS)DELAWARE	11,400	11,400
DOVER AFB (120 UNITS)	18,145	18,145
BOLLING AFB (136 UNITS)	16,926	16,926
HICKAM AFB (102 UNITS)	25,037	25,037
BARKSDALE AFB (56 UNITS)SOUTH DAKOTA	7,300	7,300
ELLSWORTH AFB (78 UNITS)VIRGINIA	13,700	13,700
LANGLEY AFB (4 UNITS)	1,200	1,200
LAJES FIELD (64 UNITS)	13,230	13,230
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	352,879	370,879
PLANNING AND DESIGN	24,558	24,558
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	518,237	536,237

53

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT. MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT SERVICES ACCOUNT UTILITIES ACCOUNT. MISCELLANEOUS LEASING. MAINTENANCE. MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS. HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COST.		36,619 58,224 28,356 157,652 2,384 102,919 436,526 35 35,406
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		858,121
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,387,358	1,394,358
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE		
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	250	250
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA) FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DIA) FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DIA) MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA) MISCELLANEGUS ACCOUNT (NSA) SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA) SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA) UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA) UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA) LEASING (NSA) LEASING (NSA) MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA) MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DIA)	3,630 30 15 292 57 374	30 15 292 57 374 78 414 428 11,698 25,600 658 359
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE		43,762
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE  DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	44,012	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND.	2,000	2,000
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE		
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE	10,119	10,119
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV		
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV	532,200	552,713
GRAND TOTAL	9,971,312	10,500,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2001 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2002 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Military construction, Army	907,878	1,760,541	1,739,334	+831,456 +635	-21,207
Kescission	26,941		-20,400	-30,400	00+06-
Total	943,328	1,760,541	1,702,934	+ 759,606	-57,607
Military construction, Navy	929,113	1,071,408	1,154,248	+225,135	+82,840
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment  Rescission	-2,889		-19,588	+2,889 -19,588	-19,588
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	3,187			-3,187	
Total	929,411	1,071,408	1,134,660	+205,249	+63,252
Military construction, Air Force	868,294	1,068,250	1,185,220	+316,926	+116,970
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	5,065			-5,065	
Total	885,333	1,068,250	1,185,220	+299,887	+116,970

+ 27,785	-505	61,426	33,641	61,931	Total
+28,710	-1,980 +1,475	62,351	33,641	64,331	Military construction, Naval Reserve
+56,365	+59,270	167,769	111,404	108,499	Military construction, Army Reserve
+49,731	-11,278	198,803	149,072	210,081	Total
+49,731	4,578	198,803	149,072	203,381 6,700	Military construction, Air National GuardSupplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)
+45,959	+27,761	313,348	267,389	285,587	Total
+280,865	+1,319,087 +32,251 4,490	4,875,622	4,594,757	3,556,535 281,097 4,490	Total, Active components
+158,250	+54,345	852,808	694,558	798,463	Total
-10,250	-10,250 +14,376	-10,250		-14,376	Rescission Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)
	+7,115			-7,115	Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment
+168,500	+43,104	863,058	694,558	819,954	Military construction, Defense-wide

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2001 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2002—Continued (Amounts in thousands)

		()			
	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	36,510	53,732	81,882	+45,372	+28,150
Total, Reserve components	702,608	615,238	823,228	+120,620	+207,990
Total, Military construction	4,259,143 (4,261,543) (-2,400)	5,209,995 (5,209,995)	5,698,850 (5,766,013) (-67,163)	+1,439,707 (+1,504,470) (-64,763)	+488,855 (+556,018) (-67,163)
NATO Security Investment Program	171,622	162,600	162,600	-9,022	
Family housing, Army:  New construction  Construction improvements	165,459 63,450 6,528	59,200 220,750 11,592	61,700 220,750 11,592	-103,759 +157,300 +5,064	+2,500
Subtotal, construction	235,437 969,566 -19,911	291,542	294,042	+58,605 +126,865 +19,911	+2,500
Subtotal, operation and maintenance	949,655	1,108,991	1,096,431	+146,776	-12,560
Total, Family housing, Army	1,211,572	1,400,533	1,390,473	+178,901	-10,060

Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps: New construction	204,669	114,847	124,847	-79,822	+10,000
Construction improvements	192,652	183,054 6,499	203,434 6,499	+10,782	+ 20,380
Subtotal, construction	417,235	304,400	334,780	-82,455	+30,380
Operation and maintenance Poreign currency fluctuation adjustment	880,696	918,095	910,095	+29,399 +1,071	-8,000
Subtotal, operation and maintenance	879,625	918,095	910,095	+30,470	-8,000
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	20,300			-20,300	
Total, Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps	1,317,160	1,222,495	1,244,875	-72,285	+22,380
Family housing, Air Force: New construction	71.857	140.800	140,800	+ 68.943	
Construction improvements	173,663	352,879	370,879	+197,216	+ 18,000
Planning and design	12,732	24,558	24,558	+11,826	
Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment	-6,839			+ 6,839	
Subtotal, construction	251,413	518,237	536,237	+284,824	+ 18,000
Operation and maintenance Porcign currency fluctuation adjustment	824,453	869,121	858,121	+33,668 +5,392	-11,000
Subtotal, operation and maintenance	819,061	869,121	858,121	+39,060	-11,000
Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	13,625			-13,625	
Total, Family housing, Air Force	1,084,099	1,387,358	1,394,358	+310,259	+7,000

# COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2001 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2002—Continued (Amounts in thousands)

mnours)	(Amounts in thousands)	(cm			
	FY 2001 Enacted	FY 2002 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Family housing, Defense-wide:  Construction improvements	44,787	250 43,762	250 43,762	+250	
Total, Family housing, Defense-wide	44,787	44,012 2,000 10,119	44,012 2,000 10,119	-775 +2,000 +10,119	
Total, Family housing	3,657,618 (441,985) (429,765) (-6,839)	4,066,517 (314,847) (756,933)	4,085,837 (327,347) (795,313)	+428,219 (-114,638) (+365,548) (+6,839)	+19,320 (+12,500) (+38,380)
General reduction  Operation and maintenance  Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment Family Housing Improvement Fund Homeowners Assistance Fund	(2,779,907)	(2,939,969) (2,000) (10,119)	(2,908,409) (2,900) (2,000) (10,119)	(+128,502) (+26,374) (+2,000) (+10,119)	(-31,560)
Base realignment and closure accounts: Part IV Supplemental appropriations (P.L. 107-20)	1,022,115	532,200	552,713	469,402	+20,513
Total	1,031,115	532,200	552,713	478,402	+20,513

	+100,000 +83,000	+1,563,502 +1,628,265) (-64,763) (-67,163)
		10,500,000 (10,567,163) (+(-67,163)
		9,971,312
	-100,000	8,936,498 (8,938,898) (-2,400)
GENERAL PROVISIONS	General provision (sec. 129)	Grand total: New bugget (obligational) authority